Attendance:

25 in attendance

Agenda:

1) Number of taps for the reference receiver model:

Mike provided additional data. http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-162r1.pdf

The straw man pole on the number of taps for the reference receiver model:

2 taps 6 3 taps 4 Abstain 5

Distribution: end-users and IC suppliers split on 2-tap, versus 3-tap, drive manufactures supported 2-tap, abstains primarily connector companies and test equipment manufacturers.

2) Jitter discussion:

Test patterns, values, and measurement methodology.

These are 8G Fibre Channel documents describing test patterns which he would like to propose for consideration in the 6G SAS spec. The motivation for new patterns is that scrambling made previous patterns far too pessimistic. Even in the unlikely event that a CJTPAT-like pattern did occur, scrambling which includes the frame header would now ensure that it did not repeat.

The 1st presentation describes what is now termed JSPAT, a 500-bit, spectrally rich payload pattern for TX jitter testing. The 2nd presentation describes JTSPAT, a pattern with sequences like CJTPAT but within the 1e-12 probability of occurrence.

- SJPAT for 8GFC PWS and WDP, http://www.t11.org/ftp/t11/pub/fc/pi-4/06-787v0.pdf
- Proposal for 8GFC Jitter Test Pattern, <u>http://www.t11.org/ftp/t11/pub/fc/pi-4/06-655v1.pdf</u>

Both of these patterns have been voted into the 8GFC draft spec.

SAS concerns:

- The size of the patterns.
- These patterns depend on negative starting disparity which FCAL has, but SAS and SATA do not. That would make a SAS implementation twice as large if the starting running disparity is not forced to negative for this test.
- CJTPAT is already used and built into designs. Is there really a need to change?

Please look at these patterns versus those already used. Some comments from the discussion: Would these patterns pass a unit that might fail using CJTPAT? Would the wider spectral density fail a unit that CJTPAT doesn't? Should this type of pattern be used as an alternate to CJTPAT for SAS-2 to provide a transition path for the future?

3) Agenda for face-to-face (in order of discussion unless new items are provided):

Zero-length test load parameters Transmitter specification Receiver specification Physical TCTF Short cable and nearline applications results DFE performance model Cable specification How do we specify a buildable 10-meter cable?

Channel specification (reference transmitter and receiver plus simulation?)

During joint session: 07-119 SAS-2 Far-end loopback phy test functions [Elliott]

No teleconference on 4/12.

T10 INTERIM APRIL 2007 MEETINGS ANNOUNCEMENT 17-19 April 2007 Hosted by Amphenol and HP

Meeting schedule: Tuesday 17 April 2007 Tuesday 17 April 2007 Wednesday 18 April 2007 Wednesday 18 April 2007 Wednesday 18 April 2007 Thursday 19 April 2007

9am-6pm 9am-6pm 9am-12pm 1pm-2pm 2pm-6pm 9am-6pm SCSI Security (CAP WG) SAS Physical WG SAS Physical WG Joint SAS Physical/Protocol WG SAS Protocol WG SAS Protocol WG

Please RSVP on http://www.zoomerang.com/survey.zgi?p=WEB226A8XLW9RE

Location

Houston Marriott North at Greenspoint

255 N. Sam Houston Parkway East Houston, TX 77060 phone 281-875-4000 or 888-236-2427 or 800-228-9290

Room Rate ======== Group Name: HP T10 Online group code: HTTHTTA (for booking on http://www.marriott.com) Group rate: \$149.00 plus 17% tax (includes \$40 meeting fee) Please use the group name/group code when making reservations and confirm the group rate Cut-off date: Friday 30 March 2007

Host contacts

Rob Elliott, HP elliott@hp.com 281-518-5037

Kurt Cox, Amphenol Worldwide Interconnect Solution Organization kurt.cox@awiso.com 281-304-5249