

1. Attendance:

| | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Mr. Bernhard Laschinsky | Agere Systems |
| Mr. Paul von Stamwitz | AMCC |
| Mr. Gregory McSorley | Amphenol Interconnect |
| Mr. Kevin Witt | Dallas Semiconductor |
| Mr. Kevin Marks | Dell, Inc. |
| Mr. Mickey Felton | EMC Corp. |
| Mr. Ramez Rizk | Emulex |
| Mr. Douglas Wagner | FCI |
| Mr. David Freeman | Finisar |
| Mr. Paul Gentieu | Finisar |
| Mr. Mike Fitzpatrick | Fujitsu |
| Mr. Rob Elliott | Hewlett Packard Co. |
| Mr. Barry Olawsky | Hewlett Packard Co. |
| Mr. Dan Colegrove | Hitachi Global Storage Tech. |
| Mr. George O. Penokie | IBM Corp. |
| Mr. Harvey Newman | Infineon Technologies |
| Dr. Mark Seidel | Intel Corp. |
| Mr. Pak Seto | Intel Corp. |
| Mr. Robert Sheffield | Intel Corp. |
| Mr. Joel Silverman | Kawasaki Microelectronics Am |
| Mr. Mark Marlett | LSI Logic |
| Mr. Gabriel Romero | LSI Logic |
| Mr. John Lohmeyer | LSI Logic Corp. |
| Mr. Steven Schauer | LSI Logic Corp. |
| Mr. David Geddes | Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. |
| Mr. John Sawdy | Meritec |
| Mr. Greg Rice | Mindspeed Technologies |
| Mr. Galen Fromm | Molex Inc. |
| Mr. Hock Seow | NEC Electronics America, Inc |
| Mr. Michael Hopgood | Nvidia Corp. |
| Mr. Rick Hernandez | PMC-Sierra |
| Mr. Tim Symons | PMC-Sierra |
| Mr. Alvin Cox | Seagate Technology |
| Mr. Benoit MERCIER | STMicroelectronics |
| Mr. Stephen Finch | STMicroelectronics, Inc. |
| Mr. Doug Loree | Toshiba |
| Ms. Ashlie Fan | TycoElectronics |
| Mr. Mahbul Bari | Vitesse Semiconductor |
| Mr. Adrian Robinson | Vitesse Semiconductor |
| Mr. Mark Evans | Western Digital |
| Mr. Larry McMillan | Western Digital |

41 People Present

2. Review of documents and proposals

2.1 SAS-2 OOB and SSC [Finch]

<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-058r3.pdf>

Recommend for inclusion is SAS-2 (16 Y - 0 N - 7 A)

2.2 SAS-2 SMP function support for SNW-3 phy capabilities [Elliott]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-091r0.pdf>

Proposal will be updated. Goes beyond just SMP function to control PHY parameters on end devices regarding supported speeds/SSC.

2.3 SAS-2 Mini SAS 4i to SAS 4i cable assemblies with SGPIO [Olawsky and Elliott]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-083r0.pdf>

Recommend for inclusion is SAS-2 (6 Y – 0 N – 20 A)

2.4 Zero-Length Test Load Characterization [Olawsky]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-013r4.pdf>

Fixture de-embedding by estimation from Nyquist may be sufficient. Need to determine if return loss effects allow this assumption to be true.

3. New Business

3.1 SAS-2 10-meter miniSAS cable specification [Fromm, Olawsky]
No update yet.

3.2 Type 1 Vs. 2 [Newman]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-045r0.pdf>

Review conducted. Previously presented on conference call.

“Hershey kiss” SSC profile would theoretically require a type 3, however, the error using a type 2 does not amount to enough to warrant a type 3.

Maybe need a specification for instantaneous SSC frequency variation.

SATA makes an average of measurements made over 10 cycles of the SSC profile to determine jitter.

ST Microelectronics has done some work in this area that will be presented to T10 in the near future.

Additional information needed to finish the transmitter jitter specification.

3.3 StatEye Tap Defined [Newman]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-135r0.pdf>

Basically, it is an infinitely adjustable, bounded tap.

Discussed what does the performance requirement actually mean so that the reference receiver performance can be used for receiver design as well as channel compliance testing.

Kevin Witt agreed to post a proposal with a description of the reference receiver tap characteristics.

3.4 Return loss measurement methodology discussion [Bari]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-071r2.pdf>

Updated version reviewed. A maximum reflected energy into the transmitter was defined based on the maximum transmit voltage swing and the return loss requirement. Alvin will incorporate into 07-063. This proposal also updates information in Annex B regarding S parameters.

3.5 SAS-2 Far-end loopback phy test functions [Elliott]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-119r0.pdf>

Analog loopback mode will be deleted. It makes no sense to send a closed eye back through the channel plus the circuitry would increase jitter and power.

Many questions as to the value of a common handle for a test set that is only for lab use with other test modes already available.

Steve Finch argued that the plug/unplug/plug mode is not a valid concept due to training to the transmitter originally trained to although SATA I using this test and trying to swap cables while in the mode to perform interop testing.

Since analog loopback is being deleted, this proposal will go to the protocol group.

The full path needs to be specified as to what happens to the signal going in and what processing is expected prior to coming out as transmitted data. Discussion also lead to clarification that the data would be valid 8b/10b characters.

3.6 SAS-2 Receiver Compliance Proposal [Robinson]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-134r0.pdf>

Questioned whether or not the .5 m miniSAS cable is worth including as a testing vehicle. General indication was that the higher loss loads were sufficient.

3.7 SAS-2 Test Methodology [Newman]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-112r0.pdf>

Need to identify measured and simulated traces.

Need BERT contours in eye simulations.

Need to look at line-by-line of transmitter zero length tests to see which ones might be eliminated as covered in the TCTF simulation test.

Simulations based on S parameter data look very promising to be included in testing.

3.8 SAS-2 6Gbps PHY specification [Cox]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-063r2.pdf>

Transmitter emphasis measurement: Not practical to use mode for the Vpk-pk for some equipment. Need to base the value on a peak voltage obtained while getting the 1000 – 2000 hits for the Vvma mode value.

Some concern voiced over bi-modal readings during some test conditions. Alvin will update the proposal based on concern voiced

Alvin also indicated that the receiver transmitter and channel specification should probably be dealt with on separate proposals.

3.9 SAS-2 Transmitter De-Emphasis Measurement [Johnson, Bari]
<http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.07/07-120r0.pdf>

Reviewed as input to the transmitter equalization text in 07-063.

3.10 Mark Marlett presented a T11 document dealing with jitter. Reference:
<http://www.t11.org/ftp/t11/pub/fc/pi-4/07-084v0.pdf>

4. Review of Recommendations

4.1 SAS-2 OOB and SSC ([07-058r3](#)) [Finch]

Recommend for inclusion is SAS-2 (16 Y – 0 N – 7 A)

4.2 SAS-2 Mini SAS 4i to SAS 4i cable assemblies with SGPIO ([07-083r0](#)) [Olawsky and Elliott]

Recommend for inclusion is SAS-2 (6 Y – 0 N – 20 A)

5. Meeting Schedule

5.1 Weekly teleconferences scheduled for Thursdays at 10 am CDT:

There will not be calls on 4/12 or 4/19.

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION:

Toll Free Dial in Number: (866) 279-4742

International Access/Caller Paid Dial In Number: (309) 229-0118

PARTICIPANT CODE: 3243413

Webex information:

<https://seagate.webex.com/seagate>

Topic: SAS-2 PHY WG

Date: Thursday

Time: 10:00 am, Central Daylight Time

Meeting number: 826 515 680

Meeting password: 6gbpsSAS

5.2 Interim face-to-face meeting planned for Houston on 4/17 (all day) and 4/18 (morning).

Possible joint meeting with protocol working group early afternoon on 4/18, depending on need.

6. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 5:25 pm