

Accredited Standards Committee*
InterNational Committee for Information Technology Standards (INCITS)

Doc. No.: T10/06-125r0

Date: March 21, 2006

Reply to: John Lohmeyer

To: T10 Membership
From: Mark A. Overby
Subject: SAT Working Group Meeting -- March 7, 2006
Hilton Head, SC

Agenda

1. Opening Remarks
2. Approval of Agenda
3. Attendance and Membership
4. Old Business
 - 4.1 SAT Letter Ballot Comments Resolution (06-121r0) [Sheffield]
5. New Business
6. Review of Recommendations
7. Meeting Schedule Next Meeting Announcement Next Meeting Map
8. Adjournment

Results of Meeting

1. Opening Remarks

John Lohmeyer called the meeting to order at 0900 March 7, 2006. John thanked the SCSI Trade Association for hosting the meeting.

2. Approval of Agenda

The draft agenda was approved without revision.

3. Attendance and Membership

Attendance at working group meetings does not count toward minimum attendance requirements for T10 membership. Working group meetings are open to any person or organization directly and materially affected by T10's scope of work. The following people attended the meeting:

Name	S	Organization
Mr. Ron Roberts	A	Broadcom Corp.
Mr. Kevin Marks	P	Dell, Inc.
Mr. Kenneth Hirata	A	Emulex
Mr. Ralph O. Weber	P	ENDL Texas
Mr. Wayne Bellamy	V	Hewlett Packard Co.
Mr. Dan Colegrove	P	Hitachi Global Storage Tech.
Mrs. Vicky Duerk	V	Intel
Mr. Robert Sheffield	P	Intel Corp.
Mr. Robert Payne	P	Iomega Corp.
Mr. Steve Johnson	V	LSI Logic Corp.
Mr. John Lohmeyer	P	LSI Logic Corp.
Mr. Avraham Shimor	P	M-Systems
Mr. Greg Elkins	V	Marvell Semiconductor
Mr. Mark Evans	P	Maxtor Corp.
Mr. Mark Overby	P	Nvidia Corp.
Mr. William Martin	P	Sierra Logic, Inc.
Mr. Curtis Stevens	P	Western Digital
Mr. Rich Ramos	P	Xyratex

18 People Present

Status Key: P - Principal
 A,A# - Alternate
 AV - Advisory Member
 L - Liaison
 V - Visitor

4. Old Business

4.1 SAT Letter Ballot Comments Resolution (06-121r0) [Sheffield]

Bob Sheffield reviewed the results of the letter ballot comment resolution to date. He then continued the review of the unresolved letter ballot comments. Bob first led a review of items that were marked as needing review by a larger group first. Then letter ballot comments

During the resolution of letter ballot comments a lengthy discussion was held about if when page codes, lengths, or fields are fixed if they should be specified by value or reference in SAT. A straw poll was held to indicate if the preference was to explicitly list the values of fixed fields (fixed by another standard). 5 were in favor of this, no one was opposed. Bob indicated that he would make this change and reflect this in the comment ballot resolution document.

The meeting recessed at 1730 to be resumed on Thursday following the plenary. After the meeting resumed, letter ballot comment resolution continued.

Bob agreed to post the revision of the letter ballot comments to date.

5. New Business

There was no new business.

6. Review of Recommendations

There were no recommendations made to the plenary.

7. Meeting Schedule

A SAT Working Group meeting is scheduled for:

Tuesday May 9, 2006 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. in San Jose, CA at the Fairmont, hosted by NVIDIA

Teleconferences were scheduled for Monday March 20, 27, April 3, 10, 24, and May 1st with details to be posted to the reflector by Bob Sheffield.

8. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 1700 March 9, 2006.