#### Attendance

Mr. Andy Turudic Agere Systems

Ms. Pat Thaler Agilent
Mr. Paul von Stamwitz AMCC
Mr. Howard Chang AMCC

Mr. Brian Miller Amphenol Assembletech

Mr. Paul Griffith

Mr. Ron Roberts

Mr. Clemet Yuen

Mr. Ichiro Fujimri

Mr. Ali Ghiasi

Mr. Sagar Kenkare

Mr. James Lott, Jr.

Broadcom Corp.

Mr. Patrick Carrier Dell, Inc.
Mr. David Freeman Finistar
Mr. Michael Lawson Finistar
Mr. Douglas Wagner FCI
Mr. Johnathan Buckr FCI

Mr. Dejan Mijuskovic Freescale Semiconductor

Mr. Mike Fitzpatric Fujitsu

Hewlett Packard Co. Mr. Rob Elliott Mr. William Ham Hewlett Packard Co. Mr. Joe Foster Hewlett Packard Co. Mr. J. P. Miller Hewlett Packard Co. Mr. Thomas Grieff Hewlett Packard Co. Mr. Dennis Alexander Hewlett Packard Co. Mr. Greg Larson Hewlett Packard Co. Mr. Matt Schumacher Hewlett Packard Co. Mr. Kevin Leigh Hewlett Packard Co. Mr. Pak Chan Hewlett Packard Co.

Mr. Keveh Naderi IBM

Mr. Harvey Newman Infineon Technologies

Mr. Andrew Cable Intel Corp.
Mr. Mark Seidel Intel Corp.
Mr. Mike Y. He Intel Corp.
Mr. Bill Bissonette Intel Corp.

LeCroy Corporation Mr. James Mueller Mr. Mike Micheletti LeCroy Corporation LSI Logic Corp. Mr. Frank Gasparik Mr. Michael Jenkins LSI Logic Corp. Marvell Corp. Mr. Wei Zhou Marvell Corp. Mr. David Geddes Maxtor Corp. Mr. Mark Evans Mr. Richard Uber Maxtor Corp. Mr. Eric Kvamme Maxtor Corp. Mindshare, Inc. Mr. Mike Jackson

Mr. Jay Neer Molex Mr. Galen Fromm Molex

Mr. Mitsutoshi Sugawara NEC Electronics America Mr. Hock Seow NEC Electronics America

Mr. Michael Hopgood Nvidia Corp. Mr. Yurley Greshishchev PMC-Sierra Mr. Ting Chan QLogic Corp.
Mr. Arie Krantz QLogic Corp.
Mr. Henry Kuo QLogic Corp.

Mr. Mike Lerer Rapid Prototypes, Inc.
Mr. Alvin Cox Seagate Technology
Mr. Allen Kramer Seagate Technology
Mr. Willis Whittington Seagate Technology
Mr. Brent Hessen-Schmidt Synthesys Research, Inc.

Mr. David Helster Tyco Electronics
Mr. Dave Griesemer Tyco Electronics

Mr. David Allen Vitesse Semiconductor
Mr. Kevin Witt Vitesse Semiconductor
Mr. Michael Yeager Vitesse Semiconductor
Mr. Phillip Roberts Vitesse Semiconductor
Mr. Nick Van Bavel Vitesse Semiconductor

66 people identified, 82 present

The meeting started at 9:00 am, May 25, 2005. HP was thanked for sponsoring the meeting. Attendees introduced themselves.

The following is a list of the presentations and their links to the T10 web site. I have included some notes on most presentations that may be useful if looking for something in particular. The notes do not represent the T10 position and should not be considered as a bias for or against a particular subject. They may reflect the comments of either the presenter or a participant at the meeting and may be worth considering during the review of the presentation material. The links were current at the time of posting. Check the T10 site for possible updates, as some presentations were to be revised.

05-219r0 Survey of high-speed serial technologies - Yuriy Greshischev, PMC-Sierra http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-219r0.pdf

#### XALII

Compliance channel with only S21, okay since requires physical compliance channel.

 $9.95-11.1\ \mathrm{Mbps},\ 200\mathrm{mm},\ \mathrm{one}\ \mathrm{connector}\ \mathrm{plus}\ \mathrm{FR4},\ \mathrm{specifies}\ \mathrm{advanced}\ \mathrm{S}\ \mathrm{parameters},\ \mathrm{interoperability}\ \mathrm{by}\ \mathrm{test}\ \mathrm{board}$ 

OIF CEI

BER <10-15, Comprehensive specification including jitter

### Multi-level

PAM-4 (four level) - Not used by any standard to date Duo-binary (three level) - 802.3ap was considering but ended up with NRZ

FCAL MJSQ – open eye or closed eye processed to open it Stateye – closed eye

Transceiver equalization @ 6 Gbps

Discrete time filters
Continuous time filters
Decision Feedback equalizer

05-215r0 IEEE 802.3ab (Gigabit Ethernet: 1000BASE-T) and IEEE 802.3an (10 Gigabit

Ethernet: 10GBASE-T) - Nick Van Bavel, Vitesse http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-215r0.pdf

Overview of Ethernet signaling, coding, filtering and media issues
100B-T 50k 170mW
1000B-T 62.5Mhz 500k 500mW
10GB-T 400Mhz 10M gate for signal processing 14.5 W (conservative) 20 W in 90 nm

UTP cheap cable?

15W, 10uS latency, 10M gates

Probably no a good starting place for SAS. Even reduced length cable does not meet 4W maximum power, latency too long, and gate count too high.

05-202r0 IEEE 802.3aq Overview (10 Gigabit Ethernet on FDDI-grade MMF cable: 10GBASE-LRM) - Kevin Witt, Vitesse http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-202r0.pdf

#### Optical

Technique Chosen

- NRZ w/ Center and Offset launch
- No Training sequences (blind equalization)

Eye is closed in RX Stress test at Rx end Tx/Rx Compliance testing applicable to SAS?

05-214r1 IEEE 802.3ap (Backplane Ethernet) 1 Gbps/10 Gbps - Ali Ghiasi, Broadcom http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-214r1.pdf

FLP "OOB" not XCVR Friendly for > 3 Gb/s

- Requires turning on/off transmitter very quickly ~100 ns pulse.
- Receiver must have envelope detect to detect bursts.
- PLL must acquire lock and adapt at the start of each training sequence

Has both transmitter and receiver electrical requirements.

Compliance channel includes summing to include crosstalk and reflections.

Biggest challenge is stub length on backplanes

SAS Auto-negotiation can leverage 802.3ap DME (Differential Manchester Encoding) to overcome OOB limitations.

05-208r0 INCITS T11 Fibre Channel Signal Specification Architecture - application to higher speeds - Bill Ham, HP <a href="http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-208r0.pdf">http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-208r0.pdf</a>

Bill Ham's overview of channel based versus connector based specifications

As far as the standards are concerned it is only the mating interface of the connectors that needs to remain backward compatible: the rest of the connector and its mounting interface may need to change, however –the SCA-2 is good at least to 8.5G (SCA-2's are used mostly for Beta points)

05-200r0 Overview of OIF CEI - Mike Lerer, Rapid Prototypes http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-200r0.pdf

# CEI-6G Specifications

- Baud Rate 4.976 to 6.375 Gigabaud/second
- NRZ Differential Signaling
- Nominal Impedance 100 Ohm
- Supports Hot Plug
- AC coupling Required assumed part of receiver
- DC Coupling Optional
- BER 10-15

05-210r0 OIF-CEI-6G overview - Yuriy Greshischev, PMC-Sierra

http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-210r0.pdf

05-199r0 Next Gen Serial Rapid I/O (SRIO) PHY Preliminary 5.0/6.25 Gbps Electrical Spec Status [Mike Lerer, Rapid Prototypes]

http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-199r0.pdf

Multi-lane striping.

Looking at OIF specification model.

Willing to work with T10 and share technical data on scrambling, CRC, DFE, etc.

05-198r0 Channel Compliance Testing Utilizing Novel Statistical Eye Methodology (StatEye)

- Harvey Newman, Infineon

http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-198r0.pdf

Channel compliance tool. Has issues as far as being used as a normative spec for receiver. Doesn't work with CJTPAT.

05-203r0 SAS-2 6Gbps Test Results - Kevin Witt, Vitesse http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-203r0.pdf

Presentation of idealized backplane and external cable test results. Did not include noise sources. Amplitude was not stated or the amount of de-emphasis required. Did not know whether the de-emphasis would fit within a 1200/800 mV pk-pk transmitter spec.

05-211r0 PMC-Sierra QuadPHY 6G device - Yuriy Greshischev, PMC-Sierra <a href="http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-211r0.pdf">http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-211r0.pdf</a>

05-221r0 6G Data over Legacy Backplanes - Mike Jenkins, LSI Logic http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-221r0.pdf

Feed-forward equalization and decision feedback equalization. OIF 6G-LR, 350mW WC per duplex channel.

Data eye for 35" FR4 has 62% pre-emphasis with 1V pk-to-pk signal.

05-216r0 and 05-217r0 OIF backplane at 6 Gbps and 11 Gbps - Galen Fromm, Molex <a href="http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-216r0.pdf">http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-216r0.pdf</a> <a href="http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-217r0.pdf">http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-217r0.pdf</a>

05-220r0 SAS 6 Gbps proposal based on OIF CEI 6G-LR - Mike Jenkins, LSI Logic http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-220r0.pdf

Open eye spec won't work at 6Gbps.

5-tap DFE in reference RX is relatively costly and probably not required for a SAS environment. OOB should have some "slow limit".

05-204r0 Towards a SAS-2 Physical Layer Specification - Kevin Witt, Vitesse http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-204r0.pdf

Need cable length and backplane length to determine what to spec. Need direction from STA regarding usage models.

05-218r0 Mini SAS 4i/4x connector performance at 6 Gbps - Galen Fromm, Molex <a href="http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-218r0.pdf">http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-218r0.pdf</a>

Revision will be posted.

05-212r0 SAS-2 System design considerations - Barry Olawsky, HP http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-212r0.pdf

HP wish list of features:

SATA support (verbal - 800mV eye opening at transmitter good for backplanes)

PHY knobs for amplitude, slew rate and pre-emphasis

BER should be better than <10-12

External cable length concerns

FCAL is looking at CAT-5, so should SAS look at this for longer cheap cable?

Since other protocols deal with packets rather than link ownership, should SAS be looking at this?

05-209r0 SAS OOB challenges: scale or not to scale - Yurey Greshischev, PMC-Sierra <a href="http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-209r0.pdf">http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-209r0.pdf</a>

Alvin will send the proposal to the T10 reflector to get responses concerning shipping product and future expectations.

05-222r0 Problems with PAM4, linear equalization, PR2, PR4, etc. - Mike Jenkins, LSI Logic http://www.t10.org/ftp/t10/document.05/05-222r0.pdf

## Actions:

Alvin to post notice of OOB rate proposal to reflector for feedback.

#### STA:

Define usage model expectations for 6Gbps (External cable length, internal connection requirements (number of connectors, media types, and lengths) Is a CAT-5 application necessary or reasonable?

Adjournment 5:08pm May 26, 2005