Accredited Standards Committee

NCITS, National Committee for Information Technology Standards

Doc: T10.1/96a103r3
Date: February 17, 1997
Project: X3T10.1/1051D
Ref Doc.: SSA-S3P rev 4
Reply to: John Scheible

To: T10.1 Membership From: John Scheible

Subject: Letter ballot comment resolution on SSA-S3P rev 4

BACKGROUND

This proposal documents the responses to the X3T10 letter ballot for SSA-S3P rev 4. Revision 2 is the output of the February 5 1997 SSA Working group, and is the recommendation to the SSA T10.1 plenary and will be considered on February 26 1997.

Ballot totals:

- 43 Yes
- 2 No
- 0 Abstain
- 1 Organization(s) did not vote
- 46 Total voting organizations
- 3 Ballot(s) included comments

This 2/3rds majority ballot passed.

Comments attached to YesC ballot from John P. Scheible of IBM Corp.:

- IBM-1) Change Header from X3T10.1 / 1051D revision 3 to X3T10.1 / 1051D revision 4 Response: Accepted.
- IBM-2) 1.1.1: Change (project X3T10.1/1121) to (X3.294:1996) .

 Response: Remove the reference as it is redundant with 2.2.
- IBM-3) 1.1.2: Change (project X3T10.1/0989) to (X3.295:1996) .

 Response: Remove the reference as it is redundant with 2.2.
- IBM-4) 1.1.3: Change (project X3T10.1/1145) to (X3.293:1996) .

 Response: Remove the reference as it is redundant with 2.2.
- IBM-5) 1.1.4: Change (project X3T10.1/1051) to (project X3T10.1/1051, this standard) . Response: Change (project X3T10.1/1051) to , this standard, .
- IBM-6) 4: Add In case of conflict, figures take precedence over tables and both figures and tables take precedence over text.
 Response: Accepted.
- IBM-7) 8.5, five places: Change I_T nexus to I_T nexus or I_T_L nexus . 8.5 is uses the term I_T nexus in 5 places when referencing by 6.11 (ABORT TASK SMS), 6.12 (ABORT TASK SET SMS), 6.13 (CLEAR TASK SET SMS), and 6.15 (LOGICAL UNIT RESET SMS) which refers to an I_T_L nexus. However it is also referenced to by 6.14 (TARGET RESET SMS) for an I_T nexus. Response: Accepted.
- IBM-8) 8.5, bullet c): Add LOGICAL UNIT RESET to the list. Response: Accepted.

IBM-9) C.1.6, bullet b): the reference to the OOT bit in 7.4, should be "6.6" (SCSI COMMAND SMS). The reference is not automated, and should be. Response: Accepted.

IBM-10) D.1.2, third paragraph: the reference to 7.2 should be "6.2" (SMS validation). The reference is not automated, and should be.

Response: Accepted.

Comments attached to No ballot from Edward A. Gardner of Ophidian Designs:

OPH-1) It appears, from the ballot, that VLSI's negative vote remains unresolved. I would like to see a response from X3T10.1 on VLSI's comment before approving this. If X3T10.1 has already responded to VLSI's comment, please refer me to the relevant document and I will amend my vote.

RESPONSE: VLSI s response only concerned SSA-TL2 related to adding a Unique ID to the Link Reset frame, and does not impact SSA-S3P. See SSA-TL2 comments for resolution.

Comments attached to No ballot from Gene Milligan of Seagate Technology:

- SEA-1) The ballot does not indicate what the response was to the X3T10.1 NO ballot.

 RESPONSE: VLSI s response only concerned SSA-TL2 related to adding a Unique ID to the Link
 Reset frame, and does not impact SSA-S3P. See SSA-TL2 comments for resolution.
- SEA-2) The ballot does not indicate why Rev 4 is being forwarded when X3T10.1 balloted to forward Rev 3.

 RESPONSE: X3T10.1 voted to forward Rev 3 as modified, and instructed the editor to create rev 4 with those modifications.
- SEA-3) An editing session needs to review the document at least for proper use of key words (e.g. the draft has at least two musts and ten cans).

 ACCEPTED RESPONSE: Change the following occurrences of can to may end 6.3, before table 10, second and third occurrences in 8.2, 8.3.3, D.2.2, D.2.3 second occurrence. Change first occurrence of 8.2 from Can only come to comes. Before table 22 from can now be released, and the to shall be released and any. D.2.3 from can be to is. I could only find 1 must in C.1.5 which changes from must to needs to.
- SEA-4) This draft and the other SSA drafts need to have the cover page revised since the document is no longer an internal X3T10.1 document.
 ACCEPTED RESPONSE: In the past, we have kept the X3T10.1 designation on the document until just before public review. The X3T10.1 cover page remains (and will be removed at publication). When T10 votes to forward the document to public review, an X3 number will be requested and the document updated with the X3 number.

Sincerely,

John Scheible

Voice: (512) 823-8208 FAX: (512) 838-3822

Email: Scheible@vnet.ibm.com