Accredited Standards Committee
NCITS, National Committee for Information Technology Standards

> Doc: T10.1/97a102r2
> Date: February 6, 1997
> Project: X3T10.1/1146D
> Ref Doc.: SSA-PH2 rev 4
> Reply to: John Scheible

To: T10.1 Membership
From: John Scheible

Subject: <u>Letter ballot comment resolution on SSA-PH2 rev 4</u>

BACKGROUND

This proposal documents the responses to the T10 letter ballot for SSA-PH2 rev 4. Revision 2 is the output of the February 5 1997 SSA Working group, and is the recommendation to the SSA T10.1 plenary and will be considered on February 26 1997.

Ballot totals:

44 Yes
 1 No
 0 Abstain
 1 Organization(s) did not vote
46 Total voting organizations
 3 Ballot(s) included comments

This 2/3rds majority ballot passed.

Comments attached to YesC ballot from John P. Scheible of IBM Corp.:

IBM-1)   Change header from X3T10.1 / 1146D rev 3a to X3T10.1 / 1146D rev 4
         <u>RESPONSE: Accept as written</u>

IBM-2)   4: Add In case of conflict, figures take precedence over tables and both figures and tables take precedence over text.
         <u>RESPONSE: Accept as written</u>

Comments attached to No ballot from Edward A. Gardner of Ophidian Designs:

OPH-1)   It appears, from the ballot, that VLSI's negative vote remains unresolved. I would like to see a response from X3T10.1 on VLSI's comment before approving this. If X3T10.1 has already responded to VLSI's comment, please refer me to the relevant document and I will amend my vote.

<u>RESPONSE: VLSI s response only concerned SSA-TL2 related to adding a Unique ID to the Link Reset frame, and does not impact SSA-PH2. See SSA-TL2 comments for resolution (a note has been added).</u>

Comments attached to YesC ballot from Gene Milligan of Seagate Technology:

SEA-1)   The file I downloaded was defective and I could not review the document. Please check the draft to see if it has the same type of problems as outlined in the other SSA drafts.

RESPONSE: The document was in the X3T10 9606 mailing and as been verified as good. The single must is removed in Annex K paragraph 2 by removing the word must . The two occurrences of can are removed by removing both occurrences of it can be seen that . See the comment resolution for either SSA-TL2 or SSA-S3P for resolution of your other concerns.

Sincerely,

John Scheible
Voice: (512) 823-8208
FAX: (512) 838-3822
Email: Scheible@vnet.ibm.com