

July 2, 1990
X3.131-199x

Mr. Delbert Shoemaker
Digital Equipment Corp.
1331 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Del:

Subject: Request for Interpretation, #2 on X3.131-199x, Small Computer System
Interface (SCSI-2)

The Secretariat has received the attached request for interpretation of X3.131-199x, Small Computer Systems Interface (SCSI-2). The request is from Mr. Brian Earle.

In accordance with the procedures established in the SD-2, Section 9.5, X3T9 needs to take the following action: Please schedule this request on the agenda of your next meeting. After discussion and formal vote, respond again to the originator and send all correspondence to the Secretariat.

Sincerely,



Lynn Barra
Coordinator, Nat'l. Stds. Processing, X3

Attachment: Request for
Interpretation #2

cc: J. Lohmeyer, X3T9.2 Chair
J. Ryland, SPARC Liaison
B. Earle

TO: X3 Secretariat/CBEMA
Lynn Barra, Administrator, Stds. Processing
311 First Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20001-2178

June 14, 1990

FROM: Brian Earle, Project Engineer
Eventide, Inc.
1 Alsan Way, Little Ferry, NJ 07643
Phone: 1-201-641-1200 Fax: 1-201-641-1640

REQUEST FOR OFFICIAL INTERPRETATION OF ANSI STANDARD X3.131 SCSI-2

This question involves Sections 9.1.6, 9.2.3, and 9.2.6

Sequential Access Devices, 4mm DAT (DDS) devices in particular
Recorded Object Descriptors, LOCATE and READ POSITION commands

Question

Should filemarks/setmarks be assigned block addresses for positioning purposes when using the LOCATE and READ POSITION commands?

Discussion

This question involves interchangeability of media among "compatible" devices from different manufacturers. Suppose a tape is recorded on drive A, then played back. One block of critical data is discovered. READ POSITION is used to determine the block address. The tape is sent to an analyst who inserts the tape in drive B and uses LOCATE to find the critical data block, specifying the block address reported by drive A. If drives A and B do not assign block addresses the same way, the wrong data will be analyzed.

I've come across two interpretations of block addresses:

- 1) Marks (filemarks or setmarks) do not have block addresses. LOCATE can't be used to position directly to a mark.
- 2) Marks do have block addresses. LOCATE can be used to position to a mark.


I agree with Interpretation 2. This is supported by the precedent set for 3480-compatible tape cartridge devices as well as the following from section 9.1.6 (Recorded Object Descriptors), Rev 10b:

"Filemarks and setmarks may or may not have recorded identifiers, but if identifiers are used in the format, then each mark is assigned a value even if it is not explicitly recorded."

This means that if identifiers are assigned to data blocks, they must also be assigned to marks. I would infer from this that block addresses used with LOCATE and READ POSITION should include marks.

The DDS standard does assign identifiers to marks; each mark appears as a separate entry in a Block Access Table, along with entries for data blocks. The information is on the tape, but some manufacturers may have chosen to ignore marks in their implementation of LOCATE and READ POSITION.

The answer to the question above seems to be "yes".


BRIAN C. EARLE, PROJ. ENG.