Accredited Standards Committee
X3, Information Processing Systems*

X3/89-1848-X.S
COMMENT # 7
January 31, 1990
Project 375-D

To: X3T9-- FOR ACTION

Subject   BSR X3.131-198x, Small Computer Systems Interface (SCSI-II)
TRANSMITTAL OF PUBLIC REVIEW COMMENT # 7

Attached is a comment on BSR X3.131-198x, from   Mr. Brian A. Berg of Berg Software Design.

In order to provide administrative control, the Secretariat is maintaining a register of all comments received during the public review and has assigned the comment registry number indicated above.

The X3.131-198x, public review and comment period closes on February 16, 1990. The comment was received on January 29, 1990.

If the Technical Committee action is to accept in whole or in part a proposal contained in the comment, then the changes should be sent to theCoordinator of Standards Processing together with any TC comments supporting the change. If the TC action is to reject in whole or in part proposals contained in the comment, the response should provide the rationale for the rejection.

The comment should be discussed at the next TC meeting, and if not definitively responded to at once, an interim acknowledgement should be sent along with an estimated date of action. When a final response is issued you must inform the commentors of their need to notify the Secretariat of their satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the committee's response. The commentor is required to send the Secretariat a written statement indicating acceptance or rejection of the TC response within fifteen working days. **The commentor must be made aware that failure to respond within fifteen working days indicates to the Secretariat that the comment has been withdrawn.**

Sincerely,

Lynn Barra
Coordinator, Standards Processing, X3

Attachment: Comment # 7

cc: D. Shoemaker, X3T9 Chair
    J. Ryland, SPARC Liaison
    J. Lohmeyer, X3T9.2 Chair

BERG SOFTWARE DESIGN
P.O. Box 3488
Saratoga, CA 95070
(408) 741.5010
24 January 1990

X3 Secretariat/CBEMA
Lynn Barra
Administrator, Standards Processing
311 First St. N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20001-2178 X3 SECRETARIAT

'90 JAN 29 P12:17

Dear Ms. Barra:

This letter documents some recommendations for changes in document X3T9.2/86-109 (SCSI-2 proposed draft) which is currently out for Public Review.

Table 7-41 on pp. 7-52-56 should be preceded by a comment indicating the fact that Table I-1 contains the same information in numeric order. Similarly, Table I-1 on pp. I-1-6 should be preceded by a comment indicating the fact that Table 7-41 contains the same information in alphabetic order. Adding these comments could save some readers lots of time.

In addition, Tables 7-41 and I-1 should include "Suggested Related Sense Keys" as was done in the CCS Rev. 4.B document.

Table I-2 on pp. I-7-10 should be followed by a new table that lists the same information in alphabetic order. As I mentioned in a letter to you dated 12 January 1990, Table I-2 should also include the section number describing each command. Needless to say, this section number should also be included in this new alphabetically-ordered table.

I feel that the operation code information included in a thusly revised Table I-2 and newly created alphabetical table would make this document much easier for anyone to reference, first time user or otherwise.

Thank you for your attention in these matters.

Very truly yours,

Brian A. Berg

cc: ANSI Board of Standards Review
    John B. Lohmeyer, X3T9.2 Chair

22