

BERG SOFTWARE DESIGN
P.O. Box 3488
Saratoga, CA 95070
(408) 741.5010
12 January 1990

X3 Secretariat/CBEMA
Lynn Barra
Administrator, Standards Processing
311 First St. N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20001-2178

Dear Ms. Barra:

This letter documents some errors in document X3T9.2/86-109 (SCSI-2 proposed draft) which is currently out for Public Review. These errors are in Table I-2 on pp. I-7 through I-9:

Opcode	Description IN ERROR	CORRECTED Description
0A	SEND	SEND (6)
13	VERIFY	VERIFY (6)
24	DEFINE WINDOW PARAMETERS	SET WINDOW
25	GET WINDOW PARAMETERS	GET WINDOW
2A	SEND	SEND (10)
31	MEDIUM POSITION	OBJECT POSITION
34	GET DATA STATUS	GET DATA BUFFER STATUS
37	READ DEFECT DATA	READ DEFECT DATA (10)
A4,AD,BD	(missing from list)	

This table brings to light the fact that the Format, Reserve and Release command names are inconsistent in their inclusion of the word "Unit". It is thereby made to seem as if the word Unit has different implications for different command types, when in fact this is not the case. Shouldn't these commands be named consistently throughout the document, preferably WITH the word "Unit"? It would also be very helpful if:

1. The single-line column descriptor included on page I-7 was repeated on each succeeding page of the table, and
2. Each table entry included the section number describing the command.

Thank you for your attention in these matters.

Very truly yours,


Brian A. Berg

cc: ANSI Board of Standards Review
John B. Lohmeyer, X3T9.2 Chair