

Accredited Standards Committee  
**X3, Information Processing Systems\***

X3/89-1848-X,S  
 COMMENT #6  
 December 14, 1989  
 Project 375-D

To: X3T9-- FOR ACTION

Subject BSR X3.131-198x, Small Computer Systems Interface (SCSI-II)  
 TRANSMITTAL OF PUBLIC REVIEW COMMENT # 6

Attached is a comment on BSR X3.131-198x, from Mr. Ronald Graczyk of CompuAdd Corp.

In order to provide administrative control, the Secretariat is maintaining a register of all comments received during the public review and has assigned the comment registry number indicated above.

The X3.131-198x, public review and comment period closes on February 16, 1990. The comment was received on December 7, 1989.

If the Technical Committee action is to accept in whole or in part a proposal contained in the comment, then the changes should be sent to the Coordinator of Standards Processing together with any TC comments supporting the change. If the TC action is to reject in whole or in part proposals contained in the comment, the response should provide the rationale for the rejection.

The comment should be discussed at the next TC meeting, and if not definitively responded to at once, an interim acknowledgement should be sent along with an estimated date of action. When a final response is issued you must inform the commentors of their need to notify the Secretariat of their satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the committee's response. The commentor is required to send the Secretariat a written statement indicating acceptance or rejection of the TC response within fifteen working days. The commentor must be made aware that failure to respond within fifteen working days indicates to the Secretariat that the comment has been withdrawn.

Sincerely,



Lynn Barra  
 Coordinator, Standards Processing, X3

Attachment: Comment #6

cc: D. Shoemaker, X3T9 Chair  
 J. Ryland, SPARC Liaison

343

November 30, 1989

Mr. John Lohmeyer  
NCR Corp.  
3718 N. Rock Road  
Wichita, KS 67726

Dear Mr. Lohmeyer,

In the past few years, CompuAdd has become a leading manufacturer of computers and peripheral products. Through our investigation of SCSI-2 connector options, we have found the small (.050 spaced) ribbon contact type to be superior to the small pin and receptacle type for our markets. The large (.100 spaced) ribbon contact connectors were developed because of usage problems with pin and receptacle systems and has been proven in many applications. The small ribbon contact connectors match the features of the large connectors in a compact design. While I am in favor of having only one high density connector option to prevent a cabling nightmare, I would like to see a connector in the standard that will withstand the test of time.

Sincerely,

*Ronald Graczyk*

Ronald Graczyk  
Design Engineer  
512-250-2592

cc: X3 Secretariat