TO: X3T9.2 Committee
FROM: Thomas Wicklund / Ciprico
RE: Comments on ESDI standard X3T9.2/87-105 Rev 2.A

Some comments on version 2.A of the ESDI standard. Some of my additions need to be reworded to match the style of the rest of the text.

- I'm finding a number of cases where sentences are terminated with a single space (instead of 2 spaces). If camera ready copy is being provided for the standard, this may be a problem.

- Table 7-5, the indentation of the note below the table is wrong.

- Section 7.14.1, it isn't clear that setting the high order seek address does not initiate a seek. Perhaps add something like:

  "This command does not initiate any head movement. A Seek Address (0000) command must be issued to move the heads."

A similar statement in section 7.14.3 may also be needed.

- Table 9-4 appears messed up. The last entry for "power on condition" has disappeared. It should be restored.

- In the first appendix, the "i.e." entries should be preceded by commas. They aren't always.

- Some "reserved" values should have " = 0" added, and some should have it removed. The changes are:
  - Table 7-13B, bits 10-13, "Reserved = 0".
  - Table 7-17, vendor ID 3, "reserved" or "not assigned"?
  - Table 7-19, modifier bits 1001..1011 and 111x should read "Reserved".