

26-JAN-96
Richard Uber
Quantum Corp.

ASSUMPTIONS:


- Receiver sensitivity = +/- 30mv @ 40.0Mhz
= +/- 20mv @ 0.1Mhz
- Terminator offset = 100 - 130mv favoring negation
- Terminator resistance = 100 - 115 ohms
- Line impedance = 85 (loaded)
= 110 - 135 ohms (unloaded)
- Drive currents: Balanced is compared to unbalanced 2:1
- Incident wave voltages are calculated for attenuations of 0, -1, and -2 decibel.

TRANSITION CASES:

#	start state	end state	
1	active negation	passive bus	Bus release
2	assertion	passive bus	Wired Or release
3	active negation	assertion	high speed transition
4	assertion	active negation	high speed transition
5	passive bus	assertion	Wired Or (not shown)
6	passive bus	active negation	(not shown)

FAST-40 NOISE MARGIN CASES
Incident wave transitions, case #1

DRIVEN NEGATION ---> HIGH IMPEDENCE NEGATION (Bus Release):




Vterm	Rterm	Zline	Ineg	V0	Vsw1	V1	-1 db	-2 db	Vfinal
-100	100	135	3.75	-287	253	- 34	-62	-86	-100
-100	100	135	4.5	-325	303	- 22**	-55	-84	-100
-100	100	135	9	-550	607	+ 57**	-9**	-68	-100
-100	100	135	16	-900	1080	+180**	+62**	-44	-100

Conclusions:

- 1 There is no margin for ringing or crosstalk when active negation is turned off.
- 2 Protocol chip logic must tolerate bus release glitches lasting for a bus round trip time.
- 3 Problem is worst near the source, and gets better with attenuation.

FAST-40 NOISE MARGIN CASES
Incident wave transitions, case #2

ASSERTED --> PASSIVE NEGATED (WIRED OR Release):



Worst case				Best case			
Vterm	min	100	mv	max	130	mv	
Rterm	max	115	ohms	min	100	ohms	
Zline	min	85	ohms	max	135		
Iassert	max	-		min	-		

ASSERTION --> Passive NEGATION


Vterm	Rterm	Zline	Iassert	V0	Vsw	V1	-1 db	-2 db	Vfinal
Worst case:									
-100mv	115	85	7.5ma	331mv	-318mv	+ 13**	+ 48**	+ 78**	-100mv
-100	115	85	9.0	417	-382	+ 35**	+ 77**	+114**	-100
-100	115	85	16	820	-680	+140**	+216**	+280**	-100
Best case:									
-130	100	135	7.5	245	-506	-261	-206	-157	-100
-130	100	135	9.0	320	-607	-287	-221	-162	-100
-130	100	135	16	670	-1080	-410	-292	-188	-100

Conclusions:

- 1 Best case and worst case bracket the threshold, so typical cases are indeterminate.
- 2 Wired OR release transitions are NOT guaranteed to cleanly negate on the incident wave.
- 3 Protocol chips must tolerate "Wired Or" releases which flutter about threshold for a bus round trip time.
- 4 The lack of hysteresis on the LVD receivers may create new issues to be dealt with in the protocolchip logic.
- 5 Attenuation aggravates this problem.

FAST-40 NOISE MARGIN CASES
Incident wave transitions, case #3

DRIVEN NEGATED --> ASSERTED:



$$V0 = V_{neg} - I_{neg} * R_{term}/2$$

$$V_{swing} = Z_{line} * (I_{asr}/2 + I_{neg}/2)$$

$$V_{final} = (\text{terminator offset}) + I_{asr} * R_{term}/2$$

$$V_{incident_wave} = V0 + V_{swing}$$

Worst case:	Vterm	max	130mv
	Rterm	max	115ohm
	Zline	min	85ohm
	Idrive	min	-

NEGATION --> ASSERTION

Vterm	Rterm	Zline	I+/-	V0	Vsw	Vinc	-1.0db	-2.0db	Vfinal
unbalanced:									
-130	115	85	6/3	-303mv	383mv	80mv	38mv	1**	215mv
-130m	115	85	7.5/3.75	-346	478	132	80	34	301
-130	115	85	8/4	-360	510	150	94	45	330
-130	115	85	9.4.5	-389	574	185	122	67	387
-130	115	85	10/5	-417	637	220	151	89	445
balanced:									
-130	115	85	9/9	-648	765	117	34	-40**	387
-130	115	85	10/10	-705	850	145	52	-30**	445
-130	115	85	12/12	-820	1020	200	89	-10**	560
-130	115	85	14/14	-935	1190	255	125	10**	675
-130	115	85	16/16	-1050	1360	310	162	30	790

Conclusion:


For a bus with 1 decibel of loss and/or crosstalk, a "balanced current driver" will require 2 times as much power as an "unbalanced current driver" with a ratio of 2:1 for Assertion : Negation currents.

For a bus with 2 decibels of loss and/or crosstalk, a "balanced current driver" will require 3 times as much power as an "unbalanced current driver" with a ratio of 2:1 for Assertion : Negation currents.

Loss	2:1 ratio	Balanced
1 db	6.0/3.0 - 7.5/3.75mA	9/9 - 11/11mA
2 db	7.5/3.75 - 9.0/4.5mA	16/16 - 19/19mA

FAST-40 NOISE MARGIN CASES
Incident wave transitions, case #4

ASSERTED --> DRIVEN NEGATED:



Worst case:	Vterm	min	100mv
	Rterm	max	115ohm
	Zline	min	85ohm
	Idrive	min	-

ASSERT --> NEGATE

Vterm	Rterm	Zline	I+/-	V0	Vsw	Vinc	-1.0db	-2.0db	Vfinal
unbalanced:									
-100m	115	85	6/3	275mv	-382mv	-107mv	- 65mv	- 28**	-272mv
-100m	115	85	7.5/3.75	331mv	-478mv	-147mv	- 95mv	- 49	-316
-100	115	85	8/4	360	-510	-150	- 94	- 45	-330
-100	115	85	9/4.5	418	-574	-156	- 93	- 38	-359
-100	115	85	10/5	475	-637	-162	- 92	- 31	-387
balanced:									
-100	115	85	10/10	475	-850	-375	-282	-200	-675
-100	115	85	16/16	820	-1360	-540	-392	-260	-1020

Conclusion:

No problems at the drive current targets determined by the
Negation -> Assertion transition:

Loss	2:1 ratio	Balanced
1 db	6.0/3.0 - 7.5/3.75mA	9/9 - 11/11mA
2 db	7.5/3.75 - 9.0/4.5mA	16/16 - 20/20mA

Problem:

- Cable attenuation is only specified at 5Mhz, but the REQ/ACK pulses will run at 40Mhz.
- Available lossy transmission line models for Spice do not give credible results.


Worst case cable:


- 30 GA
- Single strand
- 110 ohms = minimum line impedance
- 12 meters long = 40 feet.

Analysis:

- Only simple skin effect considered
- Calculated frequency dependent resistances for relevant harmonics to 440Mhz.
- Used minimum unloaded cable impedance because loaded impedance of 85 ohms can only be achieved over a very short distance.
- Ignored stubs
- Assumed that terminators were far enough away to not be useful within the setup/hold window. (round trip time from either the driver or the receiver to a terminator is greater than 5ns)
- Total bus length ~ 13 meters.

Network:





The voltage ratio per unit length can be calculated as V_{out}/V_{in} .

The voltage ratio for the entire cable can be calculated as:

$$\text{Ratio} = (V_{out}/V_{in})^n, \text{ where } n = \text{number of unit lengths}$$

The unit of length can be made smaller (R goes down, n goes up) until the computed voltage ratio stops changing significantly.

The result is a table of attenuation ratios versus frequency.

INPUT PULSE:



An idealized input waveform is transformed into a Fourier Series, resulting in a table of harmonic coefficients versus frequency.

A sine wave generator is used for each harmonic with an amplitude equal to the product of the attenuation ratio and the harmonic coefficients. All sine wave generators are summed into a single node and then applied to the 85 ohm loaded line.


Three waveforms were studied:

- 40Mhz REQ/ACK square wave
- 20Mhz max data toggle rate
- 12.5ns REQ/ACK pulse at 10Mhz, to look at an isolated minimum width pulse


SUHOLD.SP SETUP/HOLD: BALANCED 8MA , 130MV VNEG
96/01/15 12:19:03




SUHOLD2.SP SETUP/HOLD: UNBALANCED 8MA/-4MA , 130MV VNEG
96/01/26 14:55:31




*LONE.SP LONE REQ/ACK PULSE, +/-8MA 130MV VNEG RT=115 ZO=85
96/01/26 11:17:14



*LONE.SP LONE REQ/ACK PULSE: +/-16MA 130MV VNEG RT=115 ZO=85
96/01/26 11:23:12



*LONE.SP LONE REQ/ACK PULSE: +/-32MA 130MV VNEG RT=115 ZO=85
96/01/26 11:20:22



*LONE1.SP LONE REQ/ACK PULSE, +8/-4MA, 130MV VNEG RT=115 ZO=85
96/01/26 11:42:06

