1. Introduction

Dean Wallace opened the meeting and conducted the introductions and reviewed the meeting purpose.

2. Attendance

The following folks were present:

Dean Wallace, QLogic, d_wallace@qlc.com
Martin Ogbuokiri, Molex, mobuokiri@molex.com
3. Agenda development

The agenda shown was that used.

4. Approval of previous minutes

Confusion about the formats for distributing the minutes caused two separate versions to be created—one for the reflector and one for the web site. It was decided that the reflector format was too limiting and cumbersome and that a notice of the minutes being posted to the T10 web site would be all that is done on the reflector. The minutes may contain graphics and other formatting that the .pdf format handles very well.

This strategy enables a much more efficient creation, tracking, modifying, and approval process.

The minutes of the July meeting were approved with slight modifications and Ham will post them with the corrections.

5. SSM Project proposal – Ham

Bill Ham requested that the group develop an SD-3 (more correctly a project proposal) to focus the modeling work for the group. This subject was extensively discussed with the merits of technical report vs standard and other issues. After all the points were considered the group decided unanimously that the SCSI signal modeling group would pursue a standard.

Using a project proposal template supplied by John Lohmeyer the group edited the content, including the candidates for technical content. Bill Ham uploaded this project proposal as document T10/99-243r0 to the T10 web site for further consideration at the SCSI working group in September.

The sections containing the work content candidates was:

The SCSI Signal Modeling standard (SSM) is a collection of requirements on methodologies to be used to simulate SCSI signals.
These methodologies support the current family of SCSI standards and are designed to work at the data rates expected to be specified through 2006.

The following items may be considered for inclusion in SSM

1. methodologies and models for all the types of components that exist in a SCSI signal path
2. simulation tools
3. benchmark data patterns;
4. benchmark test configurations;
5. output types and formats;
6. output evaluation schemes;
7. evaluation of signaling methodologies (encoding, ISI compensation, etc.);
8. physical measurement / simulation correlation;
9. definitions for terms and concepts of signal integrity (SNR, random and deterministic jitter);
10. translation between component manufacturing control parameters and simulation input parameters
11. other capabilities that may fit within the general application scope of the this project.

Larry Barnes of LSI Logic is the technical editor.

It was the sense of the group that without a standardized methodology that accurate interpretation of simulation results would be (and is) problematic.

6. Presentations

6.1 IBIS modeling: Tariq Abou-Jeyab, Adaptec

Tariq reviewed an example of a National LVDS driver used with the IBIS methodology. This produced a number of excellent discussions. Tariq's presentation is included as an annex to these minutes.

6.2 IBIS framework: Dean Wallace, QLogic

Dean went thru a somewhat detailed explanation of how IBIS works. This is somewhat captured below:
Some types of behavioral tools: IBIS, hdl, vhdl, verilog, veriloga, spectre hdl,

diagram:

TRANSCEIVER CONFIGURATION

- spice
- behavioral tools
- interconnect
- behavioral to spice
- spice

Typical IBIS output configuration:

- Output is the values of the R, L, C components,
  the IV curves for the transistors and diodes, simple rise and fall times

Figure 1 - Chip / interconnect modeling relationships

Figure 2 - Example of an IBIS output style
The present definition of IBIS models do not allow for multilevel logic or for schemes where the intensity or shape of the signal is purposefully adjusted (for emphasis / ISI management or feedback slewrate control for example). This was viewed as a serious limitation of the presently available IBIS specification and as a serious mandate to improve on the capabilities of behavioral tools for SCSI applications.

7. Model data base strategy

A need for a way to archive the specific models that are developed was extensively discussed. John Lohmeyer noted that he did not want to be directly responsible for maintaining the model data base. Therefore, another approach was developed:

- John agreed to help the owner set up the web site for the data base.
- Dean Wallace agreed to be the owner.
- Dean will create a proposal for using this web site.

8. Output of group

The effort will produce three types of output: (1) reports to the SCSI working group through minutes, reports, and other means, (2) a new standard document containing the technical details, and (3) a web based repository for specific models.

9. SFF backplane

Bill H noted this activity is still planned but not yet started.

10. SSM document organization - Barnes

Larry Barnes reviewed a proposal for the document organization. The details will be visible in the first draft when it becomes available after the project proposal is approved.

11. Matrix development for SSM - Ham/Wallace

A matrix was developed that captures the architectural relationships between the components in a SCSI point to point connection. The relationships for multidrop connections will follow a similar pattern but were not attempted at this meeting.

For the point to point connections the general relationships are shown in Figure 3.
Each component labeled in Figure 3 is to be modeled according to a set of attributes that are appropriate to the behavior and interfaces for the component. A start at capturing these attributes was made and the results are presented next:

11.1 Transceiver chips: owner, Dean Wallace

- Interface is at packaging pins
- Model types: Spice, IBIS, HDL, table spice – details TBD
- Data patterns: TBD
- ISI compensation: TBD
- Single line / Multiline: TBD

11.2 Bus segment termination: owner, Paul Aloisi

- Interface is at package pins
- Model types: Spice, IBIS details TBD
- Terminator type: multimode
- Single line, multilne TBD

11.3 Transceiver board: owners, Tariq Abou-Jeyab and Matt Schumacher

- Interface is at transceiver board connectors, transceiver chip pins, terminator chip pins
Model types: Spice, Maxwell coefficients
PCB construction: edge, broadside, dielectric type / thickness, vias, pads, discontinuities
Single line, multiline

11.4 Transceiver board connector: owner, Martin Ogbuokiri

Interface is at transceiver board and the cable assembly transition region
Model types: Spice, Maxwell coefficients
Connector types: VHDCI, SCA-2, HD68
Mounting style: thru hole, SMT,
single line, multiline

11.5 Cable assembly transition region: owner, Dave Chapman?

Interfaces are at the connector termination and the uniform media
Model types: Spice
Construction types: twisted flat, round fanout, laminated round, IDC flat?
single line multiline

11.6 Uniform cable media: owner, Jie Fan

Interfaces are at the beginning of the cable assembly transition region on either end.
Model types: Spice, Maxwell coefficients
Cable types: flat, round shielded, round unshielded twisted flat?
Single line, multiline

12. Simulation integration strategy

Further discussion pending progress on the component level simulation work.

13. System configurations

Not discussed

14. Data patterns

Not discussed
15. Data rate
Not discussed

16. Definitions:
Not discussed

17. Tools:
This topic refers to identification and properties of specific modeling tools. It was not discussed at this meeting.

18. Next meetings
Sept 29, 1999 Lisle IL (Holiday Inn
October 27, 1999 Huntington Beach, CA
Dec 01, 1999 Rochester, MN

19. Action Items:
Martin O. to supply a block diagram of the simulation process used to do the simulation for TDR.
Status: carried over

Jonathan Fasig to send the lists of reference material electronically to Bill Ham for posting on the web site.
Status: done

Martin O. to supply an RGL transmission line matrix (circuit type of specification) for VHDCI, SCA-2, and HD68 connectors.
Status: carried over

Dean to provide a target board model.
Status: carried over

Larry Barnes to create a document framework.
Status: done and ongoing

Larry Barnes to do an overview presentation of the IBIS transceiver model specification.
Status: handouts provided but presentation still needed

Tariq to provide an IBIS transceiver model
Status: done

Ham to post the draft minutes (after review by Dean) of the July meeting
Status: carried over pending establishment of the new draft/approval minutes process – will be posted as approved minutes
Han to post the draft minutes of the September 01 meeting after review by Dean
Status: new

20. Annex: presentations

Further details are available from Tariq.