Voting Results on T10 Letter Ballot 98-014r0 on Forwarding EPI to first public review

| Organization | Name | S Vote | Add'l In |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adaptec, Inc. | Larry Lamers | P Yes |  |
| AMP, Inc. | Chuck Brill | P Yes |  |
| Amphenol Interconnect | Michael Wingard | P Yes |  |
| Ancot Corp. | Bart Raudebaugh | P Yes |  |
| Apple Computer | Ron Roberts | A Yes |  |
| Berg Electronics | Douglas Wagner | P Yes |  |
| Cable Design Technologies | Richard Wagner | P Yes |  |
| Ciprico Inc. | Gerry johnsen | P Yes |  |
| Circuit Assembly Corp. | Ian Morrell | P Yes |  |
| Compaq Computer Corp. | Bill Ham | A YesC | Cmnts |
| Congruent Software, Inc. | Peter Johansson | P Yes |  |
| Dallas Semiconductor | Charles Tashbook | P Yes |  |
| Data General / Clariion | Gary S. Peterson | P Yes |  |
| Distributed Processing Tech. | Roger Cummings | P Yes |  |
| Eastman Kodak Co. | Robert Reisch | P Yes |  |
| ENDL | I D Allan | P Yes |  |
| Exabyte Corp. | Tom Jackson | P Yes |  |
| Fujitsu (FCPA) | Don Vohar | A Yes |  |
| Harting, Inc. of N. America | Marcos Barrionuevo | P Yes | IV |
| Hewlett Packard Co. | J. R. Sims, III | P Yes |  |
| Hitachi Cable Manchester, Inc | Zane Daggett | P Yes |  |
| Hitachi Storage Products | Yang, Anthony | P Yes |  |
| Honda Connectors | Thomas J Kulesza | P Yes |  |
| IBM Corp. | George Penokie | $P$ No | Cmnts |
| Iomega Corp. | Tim Bradshaw | P Yes |  |
| KnowledgeTek, Inc. | Dennis Moore | P Yes |  |
| Linfinity Micro | Louis Grantham | P Yes |  |
| LSI Logic Corp. | John Lohmeyer | P YesC | Cmnts |
| Madison Cable Corp. | Robert A. Bellino | P Yes |  |
| Maxtor Corp. | Pete McLean | P Yes |  |
| Methode Electronics, Inc. | Bob Masterson | P Yes |  |
| Molex Inc. | Joe Dambach | P Yes |  |
| Mylex Corp. | Brian Mckean | P Yes |  |
| Ophidian Designs | Edward A. Gardner | P Yes | IV |
| Philips Electronics | Bill McFerrin | P Yes |  |
| QLogic Corp. | Skip Jones | P Yes |  |
| Quantum Corp. | James McGrath | P Yes |  |
| Seagate Technology | Gene Milligan | P YesC | IV Cmnts |
| Silicon Systems, Inc. | Dave Guss | P Yes |  |
| Sony Electronics, Inc. | Janek Rebalski | A Yes |  |
| Storage Technology Corp. | Erich Oetting | P Yes |  |
| Sun Microsystems Computer Co | Vit Novak | A YesC | Cmnts |
| SyQuest Technology, Inc. | Pat Mercer | P Yes |  |
| Toshiba America Elec. Comp. | Tokuyuki Totani | P Yes |  |
| UNISYS Corporation | Ken Hallam | P Yes |  |
| Unitrode Corporation | Paul D. Aloisi | P YesC | Cmnts |
| Western Digital Corporation | Jeff Williams | P Yes |  |
| Woven Electronics | Doug Piper | P Yes |  |

Key:

| P | Voter indicated he/she is principal member |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | Voter indicated he/she is alternate member |
| 0 | Voter indicated he/she is observer member |
| $?$ | Voter indicated he/she is not member or does not know status |
| YesC | Yes with comments vote |


| Abs | Abstain vote |
| :---: | :---: |
| DNV | Organization did not vote |
| IV | Individual vote (not organizational vote) |
| Cmnts | Comments were included with ballot |
| NoCmnts | No comments were included with a vote that requires comments |
| DUP | Duplicate ballot (last ballot received from org. is counted) |
| PSWD | The password was not correct (vote not counted) |
| ORG? | Organization is not voting member of T10 (vote not counted) |
| Ballot totals: |  |
| 47 Yes |  |
| 1 No |  |
| 0 Abstain |  |
| 0 Orga | anization(s) did not vote |
| 48 Tota | al voting organizations |
| 6 Ball | lot(s) included comments |

This 2/3rds majority ballot passed.

## 

Comments attached to YesC ballot from Bill Ham of Compaq Computer Corp.:

All comments are editorial

1. pg6 1. implementOrs is the preferred spelling per spellweb.com
2. pg7 2.3 change "from a FAX access" to (fax) like other fax numbers
3. pg8 3.1.1 [] should be () or not there at all. This occurs several places in the spec.
4. pg8 3.1.1 remove comma after initiators
5. pg8 3.1.1 remove space after expanders
6. pg8 3.1.1 added ; before "see SAM-2"
7. pg8 3.1 .1 segment $S$
8. pg8 3.1.1 pick "bus-path" or "bus path"
9. pg9 3.1.1 add : after "(media)"
10. pg9 3.1.1 add period at end of paragraph - "connector."
11. pg9/10 3.1.1 add : after "description)" several places
12. pg9/10 3.1 .1 add period at end of several paragraphs
13. pg9 3.1.1 make lowercase " (see"
14. pg10 3.1.1 the end of definitions is not clear - it looks like Other physical placement... is a definition
15. pg10 3.1.1 the footnote isn't appearing as a footnote
16. pg11 3.1.2 Lmax is defined on pg14 as "maximum domain length"
17. pg12 3.1.2 Isn't SFF "small form factor"
18. pg12 3.1.2 pg14 uses "i"th not ith
19. pg12 3.1.2 add Tdd definition from pg14
20. pg12 3.2 add colons after expander two places
21. pg14 6.1.1.1 pg12 used ith not "i"th
22. pg14 6.1.1.1 is the multiplication obvious here or should an $x$ be added?
23. pg15 6.1.1.2 add those - "such as those used"
24. pg15 6.1.1.2 previous defs used Vp, now the document is using Vp^-1. Consistency might be better.
25. pg15 6.1.3 usable is the preferred spelling per spellweb.com
26. pg16 6.1.3 rewrite sentence using "he" (3rd paragraph)
27. pg16 6.1.3 use dash - in backplane applications - instead of (). This is important wording.
28. pg17 7 change "This relates" to "These relate"
29. pg17 7 change independent to independently (it's an adverb)
30. pg18 7.1 change "Very significant...by" to "Significant...from"
31. pg21-23 table 1 has mixed case, tables $2 \& 3$ do not
32. 
33. 
34. 
35. 
36. 
37. 
38. 
39. 
40. 
41. 
42. 
43. 
44. 
45. 
46. 
47. 
48. 
49. 
50. 
51. 
52. 
53. 
54. 
55. 
56. 
57. 

58.59.
60.
61.
other places too)
pg47 9.2.4 replace [] with () or remove
pg49 9.2.4 REPORT LUNS
pg50 10 add the "change the population"
pg50 10.1.1.1 add colon at end of 1) and 2)
pg50/51 10.1.1.1 add periods at end of each list item pg51 10.1.1.2 change useable to usable
pg54/55 10.1.2.1 check underlines of (Figure 20) type phrases
pg54/55 10.1.2.1 add periods to end of each item
pg56 10.1.2.1.1 remove comma after "alone," at end of stage 6 pg59 10.2.1 change "any time ) providing" to "any time provided" pg60 12.1 change "one will need to" to "one needs to" pg61 add space to "Table 7shows"
pg62 12.1 .1 change " 4.25 V " to "4.25 V". Similar changes may be needed elsewhere.
pg63 12.1.2 remove extra period "100 mA. ."
pg63 12.1.3 fix spaces "3.0V)" and change "4.0v" to "4.0 v"
pg63 12.1.4 add be "1.0 A be delivered"
pg63 12.2 add comma "TERMPWR lines, detailed"
pg63 12.3 a special kind of defect?
pg64 12.3 change 3rd line to contain "initiator; more than three" pg64 12.3 last paragram against to for - "back up for expanders" pg64 13 reorder second sentense "The effects ... manifested when operating under extended configurations."
83. pg69 13.4.2 move period inside quotes "fault." and "ground."
84. pg69 13.4.2 remove unmatched ]
85. pg75 font change is jarring
86. pg86 table 21 add space in "see14.5.2"
87. pg87 table 22 notes in a different font
88. pg88-91 tables 23-26 add spaces "see14.5.2" and "also14.6"
89. pg95 table 30 heading not bold

```
**************************************************************
Comments attached to No ballot from George Penokie of
IBM Corp.:
```


## Page 8

```
Note 1, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:10:24 PM
(E) Section 3.1.1 Definitions; Why are the definition not in alphabetical order?
Note 2, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:10:14 PM
(E)-Section 3.1.1 Definitions- Bus segment types - Why is this not a separate type of bus segment?
Page 10
Note 3, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:30:38 PM
(E)-Section 3.1.1 Definitions- Special
Note for location of setup connection point; Is this part of the definitions or what? If it is then what is being defined.
Note 4, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:31:12 PM
(E)-Section 3.1.1 Definitions- Special
```

Note for location of setup connection point; What is an *. A footnote? A note?

Page 12
Note 5, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:13:10 PM
(E) 3.2 SCSI domain related: Are these supposed to be glossary entries or what. If they are then why are they not in the glossary?

Page 17
Note 6, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:15:24 PM
(E) Section 7 Bus segment guidelines; Paragraph 3;This sounds bad. It should be removed.

Note 7, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:14:55 PM
(E) Section 7 Bus segment guidelines; Paragraph 3; Are these classes the same as the classes in tables 1 and 2?

Page 18
Note 8, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:57:15 PM
(E) Section 7.1; Everywhere in the document the dimensional values are in
metric (as they should be). But for some reason the stub lengths are in inches. Those all need to be changed to metric values

Page 19
Note 9, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:18:22 PM
(E) Section 7.3; Everywhere in the document the dimensional values are in metric (as they should be). But for some reason the stub lengths are in inches. Those all need to be changed to metric values.

Page 20
Note 10, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:37:19 PM
(E) Section 7.4; Third paragraph; Is this the same case as talked about above?
Is it the same class the is in tables 1 and 2 ? If so then they should all be the same name.

Note 11, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:58:30 PM
(E) Section 7.3; Everywhere in the document the dimensional values are in metric (as they should be). But for some reason here lengths are in inches. Those all need to be changed to metric values

Page 21
Note 12, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:34:27 PM
(E) Section 7.5 Information under table 1; Everything from 'Risk classes to **
should be included within the table (i.e. as a footnote to the table).

Note 13, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:59:18 PM
(E) Section 7.5; Table 1; Everywhere in the document the dimensional values are in metric (as they should be). But for some reason here lengths are in inches. Those all need to be changed to metric values

Page 22
Note 14, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:38:05 PM
(E) Section 7.5; table 2; Is this a rule class or a risk class? Table 1 says risk table 2 says rule. Footnotes say risk.

Note 15, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:36:27 PM
(E) Section 7.5 Information under table 2; Everything from 'Risk classes to 'all length data in meters' should be included within the table (i.e. as a foot Note to the table).

Note 16, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:59:31 PM
(E) Section 7.5; Table 2; Everywhere in the document the dimensional values are in metric (as they should be). But for some reason here lengths are in inches. Those all need to be changed to metric values

Note 17, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:36:44 PM
(E) Section 7.5 Information under table 2; Everything from 'Risk classes to 'all length data in meters' should be included within the table (i.e. as a foot Note to the table).

Note 18, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:59:45 PM
(E) Section 7.5; Table 3; Everywhere in the document the dimensional values are in metric (as they should be). But for some reason here lengths are in inches. Those all need to be changed to metric values

Page 24
Note 19, George Penokie, 08/25/98 11:32:05 AM
Can a technical report have a shall?

Page 27

Note 20, George Penokie, 08/25/98 11:38:32 AM Another Shall.

Page 28
Note 21, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:20:03 PM
(E) Many places in the document - The are no references to many of the figures and table throughout the document. All figures and table need to be referenced.

Page 30
Note 22, George Penokie, 08/25/98 11:41:54 AM
Another shall

Page 31
Note 23, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:20:38 PM
(E) Many places in the document - The are no references to many of the figures
and table throughout the document. All figures and table need to be referenced. No reference to figures 7 and 8.

Page 33
Note 24, George Penokie, 08/25/98 11:46:28 AM
Another shall.
Note 25, George Penokie, 08/25/98 11:46:58 AM
Another shall.

Note 26, George Penokie, 08/25/98 11:47:29 AM Another shall.

Note 27, George Penokie, 08/25/98 11:47:50 AM
Another shall.

Note 28, George Penokie, 08/25/98 11:48:23 AM Another shall.

Note 29, George Penokie, 08/25/98 11:48:56 AM Another shall.

Note 30, George Penokie, 08/25/98 11:49:15 AM Another shall.

Note 31, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:39:36 PM
(E) Section 9.1.4.1 last paragraph; last sentence; What is 'ultra'?

Note 32, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:44:01 PM
(T) Section 9.1.4.3; table 4; Fast-80 is nowhere else in this document it should be removed from here.

Page 35
Note 33, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:23:41 PM
(E) Section 9.1.4.3; First sentence after figure 10; There is a hard carriage return here that should not be here.

Note 34, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:25:07 PM
(E) Section 9.1.4.3; 3rd paragraph after figure 10; 3rd sentence. 'Can' is not a word that should be used.

Page 39
Note 35, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:41:08 PM
(E) Section 9.1.4.4.4; 1st paragraph after figure 12; 2nd sentence; L and D have "" but $S$ does not.

Page 41
Note 36, George Penokie, 08/25/98 05:02:24 PM
(T) Section 9.1.4.7; table 6; Fast-80 is nowhere else in this document it should be removed from here.

Page 44
Note 37, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:38:16 PM Another shall.

Note 38, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:48:01 PM
(E) Section 9.2.2; 5th bullet; text in ()s; This looks like an editors Note to
me.

Note 39, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:39:02 PM
Another shall.

Note 40, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:39:22 PM
Another shall.

Note 41, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:40:05 PM Another shall.

Note 42, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:40:17 PM Another shall.

Note 43, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:40:48 PM Another shall.

Page 46
Note 44, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:20:48 PM
(E) Many places in the document - The are no references to many of the figures
and table throughout the document. All figures and table need to be referenced. There is no reference to this figure.

Page 47
Note 45, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:21:01 PM
(E) Many places in the document - The are no references to many of the figures
and table throughout the document. All figures and table need to be referenced. There is no reference to this figure.

Note 46, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:46:05 PM
(E) Section 9.2.4; 4th paragraph; last sentence; Yes they are but this is already defined elsewhere.

Page 49
Note 47, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:56:36 PM Another shall.

Note 48, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:56:48 PM Another shall.

Note 49, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:56:54 PM Another shall.

Note 50, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:57:06 PM Another shall.

Note 51, George Penokie, 08/25/98 01:57:14 PM Another shall.

Page 50
Note 52, George Penokie, 08/25/98 02:01:24 PM Another shall.

Page 56
Note 53, George Penokie, 08/25/98 02:09:16 PM Another shall.

```
Page 58
Note 54, George Penokie, 08/25/98 02:12:38 PM
Another shall.
Note 55, George Penokie, 08/25/98 02:13:01 PM
Another shall.
Note 56, George Penokie, 08/25/98 02:14:12 PM
Another shall.
Note 57, George Penokie, 08/25/98 02:14:28 PM
Another shall.
Page 59
Note 58, George Penokie, 08/25/98 02:31:57 PM
Another shall.
Note 59, George Penokie, 08/25/98 02:32:39 PM
Another shall.
Page 60
Note 60, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:26:44 PM
(E)- The entire document - There are several requirements in this technical
report listed as shalls. Is this allowed?
Note 61, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:27:57 PM
(E) Section 12.1 4th paragraph 2nd sentence; The ) at the end of the sentence
should be deleted.
Page 61
Note 62, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:28:49 PM
(E) Section 12.1; paragraph above table 7, There should be no space between 7
and shows.
Page 63
Note 63, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:42:50 PM
(E) Section 12.3; 2nd paragraph; 3rd sentence; What is a 'very special kind
Of
defect'?
Page 66
Note 64, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:21:09 PM
(E) Many places in the document - The are no references to many of the
figures
and table throughout the document. All figures and table need to be
referenced. This figure is not referenced anywhere.
Page 67
```

(E) Many places in the document - The are no references to many of the figures
and table throughout the document. All figures and table need to be referenced. .

Page 75

Note 66, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:21:41 PM
(E) Many places in the document - The are no references to many of the figures
and table throughout the document. All figures and table need to be referenced. None of the remaining tables have references.

Note 67, George Penokie, 08/25/98 04:29:26 PM
Section 14.7 All tables: Is there any way that these table can be made to look
like they belong to this document?

Comments attached to YesC ballot from John Lohmeyer of LSI Logic Corp.:

Editorial changes are identified with an (E); Technical changes are identified with a (T). In several cases (E/T) is used because the comment may be editorial, technical, or both.

1. (E) Patent statement at the bottom of page 2. There appears to be an extraneous carriage return in the second paragraph.
2. (E) 3.1.1 Definition of device, second sentence. Change
'(The ... initiators see SAM-2)' to
'The ... initiators (see SAM-2).'
3. (E) 6.1.3, fifth paragraph. Avoid usage of the gender-specific pronoun 'he'. Consider changing this sentence to: "For example if the 3 meter limit for Fast-10 SCSI is exceeded, then it should be expect that reflections would need to be under very good control or some other features would need to be better than minimally required."
4. (E) 6.1.3, seventh paragraph. The abbreviations, FEP, TPE, and PTFE, should be added to the list in 3.1.2.
5. (E) 7.1, category 2). Consider changing 'very electrically friendly' to a more standards-friendly wording. Perhaps the sentence should be changed to: "This case, which works well electrically, is commonly ...".
6. (E) 8.1.1, First paragraph under Figure 2. Change '16-but' to '16, but'.
7. (E) 8.2. Several places. Change 'negation' or 'negated' to 'false'.
8. (E) 8.2. Second paragraph. While SCSI devices are quite complex, I doubt that they 'think'. Consider changing the three sentences to: "If the upper bits are not set to the false state the 16-bit devices may incorrectly observe that other 16-bit devices are arbitrating for the bus (since the upper data bits may be true) and may fail. Some electrical
means for biasing these bits to the false state should be employed. One simple way for SE devices is to add a high-value resistor (say 100 K Ohms) to the 5 V or 3 V supply."
9. (T) Figure 3. This figure implies that more than one 16-bit device is permitted. If so, won't these devices negotiate for a wide data path, then fail when they actually attempt wide data transfer. How is this different from Figure 6, which disallows multiple wide data paths?
10. (E) 9.1.1, third paragraph. The period '.' is missing at the end of the paragraph.
11. (E) 9.1.4.1, rule 4. Delete '(not considered in this technical report)'
12. (E) 9.1.4.3, just below Figure 10. There appears to be an extraneous carriage return in the second line.
13. (E) 9.1.4.3, Second paragraph after Figure 10. Delete 'sitting like good SCSI citizens'.
14. (E) 9.1.4.4.3, last paragraph. Change 'a lot of margin built into' to 'adequate margin included in'. In the same paragraph, last sentence, change 'excessive' to 'excessively'.
15. (E) 9.1.4.4.4, first paragraph, second sentence. This sentence should be reworded as 'In Figure 12 parameters whose first letter is 'L' are physical lengths, 'D' refers to differential segments, and $S$ refers to single ended segments'.
16. (E/T) 9.1.4.7. This clause includes several instances of phrases such as 'ACK (REQ)'. In several places this seems to imply that the initiator may send ACK pulses before receiving REQ pulses. In point of fact, the target always sends the REQ pulses before the initiator sends the corresponding ACK pulses. (However, there are two REQ/ACK counters, one at the target and another at the initiator. Due to timing differences, these two counters may have have different values at any given time.)

I suggest that the first sentence be changed from 'The REQ/ACK offset is the difference between the number of ACK's (REQ's) sent and the number of REQ's (ACK's) received in a synchronous data phase transmission.' to 'The REQ/ACK offset is the difference between the number of REQ pulses sent (received) and the number of ACK pulses received(sent) in a synchronous data phase transmission.'.

The third paragraph should be changed to: 'When the target sends the first REQ pulse there is a minimum of one round trip time before the first ACK pulse can be received from the initiator. This round trip time includes the data processing time at the initiator. Meanwhile, the target may continue to issue REQ pulses until the offset counter reaches the maximum REQ/ACK offset level that was negotiated.'

The fourth paragraph should be changed to: 'If the maximum offset level is reached, the target waits until it receives a decrementing ACK pulse before issuing another REQ pulse. When the maximum REQ/ACK offset is reached it means that the initiator has stalled the transfer because it is not ready to send or receive another transfer. Initiators designed for maximum performance avoid this condition.'

Either delete the fifth paragraph (since it is redundant with SCSI-2, SPI, and SPI-2 requirements) or change it to: 'The receiving device is required to accept up to at least the maximum REQ/ACK offset level of data phase
17. (E/T) 9.2.2, eighth paragraph. This paragraph claims that LUN bridges may use the arbitration process used in simple expanders described in 9.1. I did not find the arbitration process described in 9.1 (searching for the string 'arbitration'). Were words lost in 9.1?
18. (E/T) 9.2.2, fifth point in the list of Case 1 LUN bridge features. Included is a parenthetical statement that a new device type code is required. Assuming a new code was added to SPC-2, replace this statement with the code value assigned. If the new code value was not added to SPC-2, we need to get one assigned before forwarding this technical report.
19. (E/T) 9.2.4, fifth paragraph. "SCSI switches constitute a separate SCSI device type" implies that yet another SCSI device type code value needs to be added to SPC-2. Has this value been added? If so, it should be reported here. If not, we need to get one assigned before forwarding this technical report.
20. (E) Clause 14. There is the potential that the pin assignment tables in this clause might disagree with those in the referenced standards and specifications. There should be an explicit statement early in clause 14 saying, "The pin assignment tables in this clause are included for convenience, however should there be a conflict between this technical report and the pertinent standard or specification, the pin assignments in the pertinent standard or specification shall prevail."
21. (E) Clause 14. For each pin assignment table in Clause 14, there should be a reference as to which standard or specification currently defines the pin assignment data. If it is intended that EPI supersede any of the SFF specifications, then it should be clearly stated that EPI is the pertinent specification for that connector.
22. (E) Table 15. There is a space missing before the '+' for the first two signals.

```
***************************************************************
Comments attached to YesC ballot from Gene Milligan of
Seagate Technology:
EPI comments:
```

1) The editor's note should be deleted from the cover page.
2) The abstract would be clearer if "for formal compliance with standards" were deleted.
3) The technical editor is to be congratulated on a very informative work product.

## 

Comments attached to YesC ballot from Vit Novak of Sun Microsystems Computer Co:

Figure 12 : Change all DF/SEs to DIFF/SE as more self-explanatory.

Comments attached to YesC ballot from Paul D. Aloisi of Unitrode Corporation:

EPI Letter Ballot Comments

1. Section 6.1.2 2nd paragraph last sentence SE Ended device. Removed ended
2. Section 7 first paragraph last sentence, a should be are.
3. Section 7 3rd paragraph 1st sentence "system is used", add is.
4. Class 3 description needs to be reworded. Last that to those?
5. Section 7.3 3rd paragraph, second sentence Mv should be mV.

6 . Section 7.3 8th Paragraph Note tat this applies for positions near the terminators that are being used for bus termination.
7. Table 1 there is a stray $h$ in the Fast-20 block
8. Tables 2 and 3 FAST-xx should be Fast-xx
9. Section 8.1.1 3rd paragraph, 2 - 16 bit devices with 8 - 8 bit devices between them is an addressing problem. The 8 bit devices can not talk to the 16 bit devices if they are using all the 8 bit addresses. 2 - 16 bit devices with 6 - 8 bit devices will work.
10. EPI REV 14 appears on the 30 page on instead of rev 15.
11. 9.1.4.3 3rd paragraph, first sentence has an extra carriage return.
12. 9.1.4.4.3 2nd Paragraph 4th sentence last word should have "a" in front of
it.
13. 9.2.4 The paragraph before figure 18 should really be after figure 18, since it talks about figure 19
14. 12.1.1 SCSI-2 alternate allows for 2.63 volts as well as 2.85 volts (See note 2 in figure 10).
15. Sentence above Table 7 is missing a space after Table 7
16. Table 8 column headers don't explain that is the number of conductors and the wire gauge.
17. Paragraph after table 8 should end with only 1 or 2 wires distributing termpwr
18. 13.4.1 Should that be braided shield is equivalent to 8 AWG wire?
19. Complain about the words Stubbing connection and Bussing connection in section 14.2 , better terms, stubbing connector means bending the pins or dislodging. Stub connection and Bus connection are better terms.
20. Table 15 Spaces missing on signal $1 \& 2$.
21.

