



Do Read Long and Write Long have a Secure Future?

**(Was: A proposal to add a new
modifier to SCSI Write commands)**

**Tom Coughlan
Digital Equipment Corporation
January 8, 1997**



Read and Write Long Applications

- **To force an unrecovered read error**
 - useful for disk mirroring applications
- **To test the error detection and correction mechanisms in the storage device**



Read and Write Long Disadvantages

- **Write Long is not an ideal mechanism for creating forced errors:**
 - the length is drive-specific
 - the data pattern is theoretically drive-specific
- **Write Long can be used by malicious utilities to make a drive appear bad.**



An Alternative

- **Add a bit to the Write commands that would instruct the drive to return an unrecovered ECC error on subsequent reads.**
 - Only one-block transfer lengths allowed.
 - Subsequent writes with the "force error" bit clear would return the block to normal status.
- **Advantages:**
 - provides a vendor-independent method for creating forced errors
 - (does not infringe on DIGITAL's patent)



Reactions

- **Strong sentiment in favor of keeping Read and Write Long**
 - they are used as a test tool
- **Preference for avoiding an additional way to do the same thing**
 - the new Write bit is probably not worth adding unless R/W Long are obsoleted
- **Some interest in extending the new Write functionality:**
 - generate a unique error when read
 - Read returns the data that was written
 - additional Write modifier bits, each with unique Read error codes



Resolution

- **Write Long is adequate for writing forced errors, if it will remain in the Standard, and in common implementations.**
- **Strong desire to keep R/W Long as a testing tool, indicates that it will not be obsoleted.**
 - *right?*
- **In light of this, the additional mechanism for writing forced errors is not justified.**
 - **No further work on the proposal is planned.**