Voting Results on T10 Letter Ballot 97-026r0 on Approving DIS $14776-411\ \mathrm{SAM}$

Organiza	ation	Name			Add'l Info
Adaptec,		Norman H. Harris			
AMP, Inc		Charles Brill	Ρ	Yes	
Amphenol	Interconnect	Michael L. Wingard	Ρ	Yes	
Ancot Co	orp.	Gary Porter	Α	Yes	
Apple Co	omputer	Ronald K. Roberts	Α	Yes	
Berg Ele	ectronics			DNV	
Cable De	esign Technologies	Richard Wagner	Ρ	Yes	
Ciprico		Gerry Johnsen	Ρ	Yes	
	Assembly Corp.			DNV	
	Logic Inc.			Yes	
CMD Tech		Edward Haske	Р	Yes	
Congruent Software, Inc.		Peter Johansson	Ъ	Yes	
Dallas Semiconductor				Yes	
	neral / Clariion	Greg McSorley Charles Monia		Yes	
	Equipment Corp. uted Processing Tech.	Roger Cummings	D	Vec	
	Kodak Co.	Robert Reigch	D	Vec	
ENDL	Rodak Co.	Robert Reisch I D Allan	D	Yes	
Exabyte	Corp	Constance L. Kephart			
Fujitsu	corp.	-		Yes	
-	Elektronik Inc.	Martina Kuhlmeyer			
	Packard Co.	J. R. Sims, III			
	Cable Manchester, Inc	Zane Daggett	Ρ	Yes	
	Micro Systems, Inc.			Yes	
	Storage Products				
	onnectors	T. Kulesza	Ρ	Yes Yes	
IBM Corp				DNV	
	geTek, Inc.	Dennis Moore	Ρ	Yes	
Linfinit	ty Micro	Dean wallace	Р	Yes	
Madison	Cable Corp.	Robert A. Bellino	Ρ	Yes	
Maxtor C	-	Pete McLean	Р	Yes	
Molex_In		Joe Dambach	Ρ		
	ctronics, Inc.			DNV	
Oak Technology, Inc.			_	DNV	TTT 0 .
_	n Designs	Edward A. Gardner			IV Cmnts
	Key Modules	William P. McFerrin	Р		
QLogic C	=	Mark Evans	7\	DNV Yes	
Quantum	Technology	Gene Milligan	A	voc	IV
	Systems, Inc.			Yes	TV
	ectronics, Inc.	Jan F. Rebalski	Δ.	Vec	
_	Technology Corp.	Erich Oetting	P	Yes	
	cosystems Computer Co	Erich Oetting Robert N. Snively	P	Yes	Cmnts
	Logic Inc.	John Lohmeyer		Yes	
SyQuest Technology, Inc.		Pat Mercer		Yes	
	Computers	Pete Tobias	Ρ	Yes	
Toshiba	America Info Sys	Tokuyuki Totani	Ρ	Yes	
UNISYS Corporation		Ken Hallam	Ρ	Yes	
Unitrode	e Corporation	Paul Aloisi	Ρ	Yes	
	Digital Corporation	Jeffrey L. Williams	Ρ	Yes	
Woven El	lectronics	Doug Piper	Ρ	Yes	
Key:					
	Voter indicated he/she is				
	Voter indicated he/she is Voter indicated he/she is				
	Voter indicated he/she is		\ +	kno-	atatua
	Yes with comments vote	not member or does no	ノし	VIIOM	scacus
YesC Abs	Abstain vote				
DNV	Organization did not vote				
IV	Individual vote (not organ	nizational vote)			
Cmnts	Comments were included wi				
CILLICO	JJOII WOLC IIICIUUCU WI	Dallo			

NoCmnts No comments were included with a vote that requires comments DUP Duplicate ballot (last ballot received from org. is counted)
PSWD The password was not correct (vote not counted)
ORG? Organization is not voting member of T10 (vote not counted)

Ballot totals:

- 44 Yes
- 1 No
- 0 Abstain
- 6 Organization(s) did not vote
- 51 Total voting organizations
- 2 Ballot(s) included comments

This 2/3rds majority ballot passed.

Comments attached to No ballot from Edward A. Gardner of Ophidian Designs:

- 1. The question on this ballot is mis-stated. It reads:
- > Question: Do you approve DIS 14776-411 SAM?

In the strictest sense I approve of SAM. It is well written, attractive, reasonably structured, overall a document that I could make many complimentary statements about. However, that vote was already taken when T10 forwarded SAM. What is being asked here is to recommend that the U.S. representative or TAG cast his/her/its vote to approve SAM as an ISO standard. This I am against.

- 2. I am against this because I see no advantage to SAM being an international standard. Persuing SAM as an international standard merely creates additional unnecessary overhead with no commensurate advantages. Futhermore, the committee is already developing SAM-2, tantamount to a replacement for SAM, with a target forwarding date of November 1997. Persuing or approving SAM as an international standard, when its replacement may well be available before the international approval and publication process is completed, is deceptive and a disservice to the industry and its customers.
- 3. I must confess admiration for the following wording:
- > The position options are: approve; approve with editorial comments; or
- > disapprove for technical reasons which are to be explained in an attached
- > paper with recommended wording which, if accepted, would change the U.S.
- > vote to one of approval.

>From a superficial perspective, this would appear to make it impossible to cast a vote saying (in effect) this standard shouldn't exist. However, past experience is that "technical reasons" encompasses business, marketing and political reasons, indeed anything that isn't purely editorial. The following wording changes would cause me to recommand approval:

- a. Eradicate every page, line and word of text, all figures, and all tables from DIS 14776-411 SAM, resulting in an empty or blank document.
- b. Alternately, substitute SAM-2 when it has been approved by ANSI. More generally, substitute SAM-n with the lowest value of n such that (i) SAM-n has been published by ANSI, and (ii) T10 has not forwarded a project proposal for a SAM-(n+1) prior to SAM-n being published by ANSI.
- I feel it is simpler and preferable to choose option a, eradicate SAM as an international standard, and deal with SAM-2 or SAM-n after such a standard has been approved.

Ophidian Designs 1262 Hofstead Terrace Colorado Springs, CO 80907	719 593-8866 voice 719 593-8989 fax
********	**********
Comments attached to Yes ballot Sun Microsystems Computer Co:	from Robert N. Snively of
None	

****** End of Ballot Report *************