Results of voting on CD xxxx-1 and Collation of Comments
Information Technology Small Computer System Interface SCSI-3
Part 1: Architecture Model (SAM)

SC 25 Secretary

25.13.11.08

CD xxxx-1 was distributed with SC 25 N 266. The draft has reached substantial support.
The NWIP JTC I N 3698 has been approved, see JTC I N 3929.

For information of Members of SC 25.
The Comments are handed to SC 25IWG 4 for consideration and to update the text.

P-Members of SC 25
L-, 0-Members of SC 25
Comments of the German National Body to accompany the vote on document
ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25 N 266

The German National Committee submit the following comments concerning the general
structure of SCSI-3 documents as well as editorial improvements.

DE I Document number: This document will become part of the standards series on SCSI-3.
For the benefit of the users (i.e. JTC I's customers) we request that the principles of
numbering multipart International Standards be applied. SC 25 and its relevant WG 4
should establish an appropriate structure of the set of SCSI-3 standards and develop a
"roadmap", which is to become a "general part" of the Introduction of any published
standard.

DE 2 Abstract on page 2:

a) ISO/IEC standards do not have an Abstract, therefore delete this paragraph.

b) The contents is very similar to the text in the Foreword/Introduction and should be
merged into the latter.

C) amend 1st line: "This International Standard..."

DE 3 page 7: The standard foreword for JTC I standards is required here. The first paragraph
should be moved to the Introduction on page 9, the remaining text is to be deleted.

DE 4 page 9: As mentioned in DE 1, the Introduction should be structured to give

a) a general overview to the series of SCSI-3 standards (text plus roadmap figure see
e.g. document SC 25 N 267)

b) general information on this part of the series (see DE 3)

c) the document structure.

DE 5 clause I

-change title to Scope
-most of the text is to be moved into the introduction. The scope of this
international standard shall state, what is actually specified as "SAM" (e.g. the 2nd
paragraph is part of the scope).
-2nd paragraph:
line 1: This International Standard...
line 4: this document -> this International Standard
-3rd paragraph: delete "subclause"
-4th paragraph, last sentence: delete "under the jurisdiction of technical
committeeX3TIO"
DE 6  Standard text required for clause 2

DE 7  page 11, line 6: write: (e.g. tapes)

DE 8  Clause 3 and 3.1: replace "Glossary" by "Definitions"; before 3.1.1 insert sentence "For the purposes of this International Standard, the following definitions apply".

DE 9  3.1.13: is the use of differing fonts intentional? explanation? (applies to other occurrences as well, e.g. page 42)

DE 10  3.1.19: amend:"... rules for task set management...

DE 11  3.1.19 and 3.1.42 to 3.1.44: write "logic unit number" instead of "logical unit number"

DE 12  3.1.27: write: "contains" instead "contained"

DE 13: page 14, 3.1.46: delete "is in front of "required"

DE 14: page 16, 3.1.84: explain what (n) and (v) is


    amend 2nd paragraph in accordance with comment DE 4

DE 16: subclause 3.5:... digits 0 to 9.--' letters "A" to F.....

DE 17: page 19, in 3.6: write: Reserved Field and Code Values

DE 18: page 28, Figure 9: there is not reference to this figure in the text

DE 19: page 29, line 1: should it be Object Definition 1 SCSI Domain (Fig. 9)?

DE 20: page 30, line 1: should it be: The service Delivery subsystem (Fig. 11).

DE 21: page 32, line 3: write: "definition in 3.1.48" instead "in 3".

DE 22: page 33, line 11: write: "definition in 4.6"

DE 23: page 34, the reference to Fig. 14 is missing
DE24: page 39, line 19: write: "shown in figure 17" instead of "shown in the following diagram.

DE25: page 41: Task address: ... "definition 7 in 4.7.4"

DE 26: page 42: is the use of differing fonts intentional? explanation?

DE27: page 43: line 5: write: (see table 2)

DE 28: page 51, line 14: write: (see task A)

DE 29: subclause 5.6.2: what is the meaning of "IMPLEMENTORS NOTES"? Check all further occurrences as well.

DE 30: page 55, 1st line: write: "following subclauses describe"

DE 31: page 57, line 8: (see 4.4).

DE 32: Clause 6 (page 58), ABORT TASK:"... (see object definition it in 4.7.4)." Similar corrections necessary on top of page 59.

DE 33: page 62, last line: write: "Figure 22 shows" instead "the following diagrams shows"

Japan's Comments on SC25 N266

Title: CD xxxx-1, Information Technology - Small Computer System Interface (SCSI-3)
Part 1: Architecture Model (SAM)

The National Body of Japan approves ISO/IEC JTC1/SC25 N266 with the following comments.

1. Title. (General)

"Information Technology - Small Computer System Interface (SCSI-3)" should be
"Information Technology - Small Computer System Interface-3"

2. P.11, 2nd line from bottom. (Editorial).
"ISO/IEC 9316-1" should be "ISO/IEC 9316".


"9316" should be "ISO/IEC 9316:1989".


"9316-1" should be "ISO/IEC 9316:1995".

5. P.37, 3rd line. (Editorial).

"in 5.4)." should be "in 6.4).".


"Linked Command Complete;" should be "Linked Command Complete;".

7. P.57, 8th line. (Editorial).

Reference item "44" is not found in this document.