X3T10/96-145 R0

# LVD SCSI Assertion and Negation Currents

#### **Richard S. Moore**

Adaptec

Adaptec

## Purpose

Define an operating region for driver assertion and negation currents ( $I_A$  and  $I_N$ ) that will provide adequate DC voltage levels, incident wave voltage levels, and reflected wave voltage levels.  $I_A$  and  $I_N$  are defined such that both are positive quantities.

#### Constraints

- DC voltage levels:
  - » V<sub>A</sub> >= 130 mV
  - » V<sub>N</sub> <= -130 mV
- AC voltage levels (first transition):
  - » V<sub>+</sub> >= 150 mV
  - » V\_ <= -150 mV
- Cable impedance:
  - » 85 ohms <= Z<sub>L</sub> <= 135 ohms

### Constraints (continued)

#### • Termination:

- » 100 mV <= V<sub>B</sub> <= 130 mV
- » 100 ohms <=  $R_T$  <= 115 ohms
- » 0.87 mA <=  $I_T$  <= 1.3 mA (derived)
- Leakage and number of nodes:

#### DC Assertion Level

#### • $V_A \ge 130 \text{ mV}$ • $(I_A - 2 * I_T - N * I_L) * R_T / 2 \ge 130 \text{ mV}$ • $I_A \ge 2 * (130 \text{ mV} + V_B) / R_T + N * I_L$ • Maximum $V_B$ , N, and $I_L$ ; minimum $R_T$ : $I_A \ge 5.52 \text{ mA}$

#### • $V_N \le -130 \text{ mV}$ • $-(I_N + 2 * I_T + N * I_L) * R_T / 2 \le -130 \text{ mV}$ • $I_N \ge 2 * (130 \text{ mV} - V_B) / R_T - N * I_L$ • Minimum $V_B$ , $I_L$ , and $R_T$ ; maximum N: $I_N \ge 0.92 \text{ mA}$

#### Incident Wave Assertion

# • $V_+ \ge 150 \text{ mV}$ • $V_N + (I_A + I_N) * Z_L / 2 \ge 150 \text{ mV}$ • $I_A + I_N \ge 100 \text{ mV} + (I_N + 2 * I_T + N * I_L) * R_T) / Z_L$

#### Incident Wave Assertion (cont.)

•  $I_A >=$ <u>300 mV +  $I_N * (R_T - Z_L) + 2 * V_B + N * I_L * R_T Z_L$ </u> • Maximum  $R_T$ , minimum  $Z_L$ :  $I_A >= 7.02 \text{ mA} + .35 * I_N$ 

#### Reflected Wave Assertion

•  $V_{R+} \ge 130 \text{ mV}$ • Let  $Z_P = R_T * Z_L / (R_T + Z_L)$ •  $V_N + (I_A + I_N) * Z_P \ge 130 \text{ mV}$ •  $I_A + I_N \ge 130 \text{ mV} + (I_N + 2 * I_T + N * I_L) * R_T / 2) / Z_B$  •  $I_A >=$ <u>130 mV +  $I_N * (R_T/2 - Z_P) + V_B + N * I_L * R_T/2 Z_P$ </u> • Minimum  $R_T$  and  $Z_L$ :  $I_A >= 6.01 \text{ mA} + .09 * I_N$ • Maximum  $R_T$ , minimum  $Z_L$ :  $I_A >= 5.69 \text{ mA} + .18 * I_N$ 

### Reflected Wave Assertion (cont.)

- Superposition of both reflected waves -let  $Z_R = 2 * Z_P - Z_L / 2$
- $I_A >=$ <u>130 mV +  $I_N * (R_T/2 - Z_R) + V_B + N * I_L * R_T/2</u>$  $<math>Z_R$ • Maximum  $Z_L$ , minimum  $R_T$ :  $I_A >= 5.83 \text{ mA} + .055 * I_N$ </u>

### Incident Wave Negation

# • $V_{-} <= -150 \text{ mV}$ • $V_{A} - (I_{A} + I_{N}) * Z_{L} / 2 <= -150 \text{ mV}$ • $I_{A} + I_{N} >= ((I_{A} - 2 * I_{T} - N * I_{L}) * R_{T} + 300 \text{ mV}) / Z_{L}$

## Incident Wave Negation (cont.)

•  $I_N >= I_A * (R_T - Z_L) - 2 * V_B - N * I_L * R_T + 300 mV$   $Z_L$ • Minimum  $Z_L$ , maximum  $R_T$ :  $I_N >= 1.61 mA + .35 * I_A$ 

### Reflected Wave Negation

• 
$$V_{R-} \le -130 \text{ mV}$$
  
•  $V_A - (I_A + I_N) * Z_P \le -130 \text{ mV}$   
•  $I_A + I_N \ge -130 \text{ mV}$   
•  $(I_A - 2 * I_T - N * I_L) * R_T / 2 + 130 \text{ mV}) / Z_P$ 

## Reflected Wave Negation (cont.)

•  $I_N >= I_A * (R_T/2 - Z_P) - V_B - N * I_L * R_T / 2 + 130 \text{ mV}$   $Z_P$ • Minimum  $Z_L$ , maximum  $R_T$ :  $I_N >= 0.99 \text{ mA} + .18 * I_A$ 

## Reflected Wave Negation (cont.)

 Superposition of both reflected waves
 I<sub>N</sub> >=
 I<sub>A</sub> \* (R<sub>T</sub>/2 - Z<sub>R</sub>) - V<sub>B</sub> - N \* I<sub>L</sub> \* R<sub>T</sub> / 2 + 130 mV
 Z<sub>R</sub>

 Minimum R<sub>T</sub>, maximum Z<sub>L</sub>:
 I<sub>N</sub> >= 0.97 mA + .055 \* I<sub>A</sub>

## Ideal Asymmetric Drivers

• 
$$V_A = -V_N$$
  
•  $(I_A - 2 * I_T) * R_T / 2 = (I_N + 2 * I_T) * R_T / 2$   
•  $I_A = I_N + 4 * I_T$   
•  $I_T$  (mid-range) = 1.05 mA  
•  $I_A = I_N + 4.2$  mA

## Symmetric and Asymmetric Drive

- Symmetric drivers with 10% mismatch:  $I_A >= 0.9 * I_N$
- Asymmetric drivers with 10% mismatch:  $I_A \le 1.1 * I_N + 4.6 \text{ mA}$

## Allowed Region of Operation



#### Comments

- Analysis is for current-mode drivers and neglects driver and load impedances;
   i.e., R<sub>s</sub> >> Z<sub>L</sub> and R<sub>L</sub> >> Z<sub>L</sub>.
- A simple current source model for leakage is used. A better model would be a diode bridge with a current source.

#### Comments

- Worst case conditions arise from lowest loaded cable impedance combined with highest termination resistance.
- Incident wave amplitude is the dominant constraint for both assertion and negation drivers.

#### Comments

- Tightening range of R<sub>T</sub> would provide relief by relaxing driver design constraints.
- If minimum negation current for DC conditions is positive, does this mean that the terminator bias is insufficient?