


## **Proposed Controller Failover Profile**

## High Availability Study Group X3T10: 95-312r0

SJS 5-November 8-1995


X3T10 High Availability Study Group



## **Proposed Controller Failover Profile**

## **Overview**


- Purpose
- Architectural Concepts
- The Problem
- Assumptions about Fault Tolerant Controller **Configurations & Failover** 
  - Required Changes for SCC & SCSI-3
- Functional Description of FT Controller **Configuration Usage**
- Additional SCSI-3 Requirements for more Flexibility



## **Purpose for Profile**

Generalize the concept of fault tolerant controller configurations


- 1 controller logically with many ports
- Generalize usage of SCC to aid OS driver development across all industry platforms for various fault tolerant configuration types
  - standardize setup and/or registration of controllers in FT configuration (with naming independent of serial #'s)
  - standardize reporting of failing controller/returning controller events
  - one port to n-port controller boards
  - any number of controller boards in configuration



## **Architectural Concepts**


FT Controller Configuration definition:

- Any two or more control units sharing access paths to an arbitrary set of devices/Luns
- Control Units may be active simultaneously or in some kind of active-standby mode
- Differing LUN Access models for hosts




## **Architectural Concepts**

- FT Controller Configuration Definition:
  - Failover between controllers
  - Failback between controllers
  - Failover/Failback by controllers automatically or under host control
  - Failover Failback notification direct or indirect (message or timeout)




## **The Problem**

- Non-standard Configuration setup and reporting
- Non-standard failover/failback detections & options for speed/simplicity
- Interoperability with different OS burdened
- Need for standard in open system networked storage environment




# **Assumptions & Changes**

- Assumptions are for adherence to SCC models of SACL's
- Assumptions are:
  - Two or more controllers sharing access paths to storage devices
  - The controllers configured with devices logically represent 'one controller with n ports to host'
  - The controllers configured with devices report the same configuration between them





# **Assumptions and Changes**

- Assumptions cont;
  - Controller communicate with eachother directly (comm) or indirectly (through shared storage) or
  - Controller components may have single or multiple host ports & single or multiple device interfaces
  - Controllers may be pre-configured or be configured by hosts. Configs verified during controller/host init as well as after initial config
  - Any/all surviving controllers within configuration can resume service of storage to host after controller failure.




## SCC & Fault Tolerant Controller Assumptions





## SCC & SCSI-3 Changes


- SCC changes involve some specific changes to the ATTACH to COMPONENT DEVICE and REPORT COMPONENT DEVICE ATTACHMENTS service actions
  - Changes to Attach involve LUN\_C=0 denoting service action for controller attachments
    - Changes to parameter list based on LUN\_C=0 for list to refer to controllers to be attached to controller receiving service action.



## SCC & SCSI-3 Changes


#### - Changes to the Report COMPONENT DEVICE ATTACHMENT command

- LUN\_C=0 denotes controller service action to report about controller attachments
- Response contains information about all current attachments, the name of the attachment, and information controllers eligible to become attached.



## **SCSI-3 Changes**


- New ASC/ASCq's for Fault Detection in FT controller configuration:
  - FAILOVER
  - FAILBACK
  - sent to hosts by detecting controller(s) of failed controller.
    Method determined by SCSI-3 exception handling methods (AEN, Unit Attention, etc..)



# **FT Controller Configurations**


### Using these changes, hosts can

- Configure fault tolerant controller configurations
- Efficient configuration checks by hosts (top level controller checks, followed by One scan down through a controller to verify LUN/device configuration
- Failover/Failback much more quickly since controllers can detect partner failures faster
- Identification of Load balancing opportunities
- Consistent and Complimentary to Persistent Reserve & Global device/LUN IDs




# Additional SCC Requirements for Configuration Flexibility

- For more Flexible Configuration, controller configuration capabilities to support multiple configurations of LUNs between attached controllers is possible/desireable
  - With networked storage
  - With serial storage & high connectivity
- Capabilities should be reported and controllable




'N' Controller component configurations may want/need different LUN access models.

- Total sharing of all LUNs configured between all controllers attached to eachother.
  - This is represented by the profile as it stands today with the proposed SCC changes
  - This ties a set of controllers to all LUNs configured from any/all controllers in the attached configuration. Class 1 configuration
  - Other devices may share access but comprise LUNs for different controller attachments.




The Controller Attachment and Controller to LUN attachments allow for

- Ease of configuration: less OS polling of all targets and LUNs to build configuration maps with one or two level controller configurations
  - class 1 configuration (one level)
  - class 2 configuration (two level)




Controller Attachments and Controller to LUN Attachments also provide for


 Easier use and management of Global IDs for devices and LUNs within a controller configuration.



- LUNs attached to specific sets of controllers within an attached controller configuration
  - This requires an Attachment of Controller to specific LUN. It also implies a set of flags for reporting of and control of the LUN access method to be employed by the controller(s) attached to the LUN.




- The LUN attachment method is basically a 'Sub-component attachment between controllers to sets of LUNs on shared access paths. Class 2 configuration.
  - A Create Controller-LUN Attachment command would need to be added
    - Global ID Assignment
    - Setup Class of LUN Service



## LUN Access/Service Methods

LUN access with controllers may be:

- Allowed by only one controller, that controller only responds to read/write commands
- Allowed by one controller at a time, but requires interlock commands to bind/unbind from a controller (i.e. Reserve/Release)
- Allowed by both controller simultaneously, assuming a high level of interlock on LUN accesses



A Report Controller-LUN Attachment Command will also be required

- To Report Attached LUNs
- To Report Eligible LUNs
- The Report Component Device Attachment (for Controllers, LUN\_C=0) needs
  - To Report Class of LUN access/service Allowed
  - Controller Configuration Type (1,2,other)