

Accredited Standards Committee*
X3, Information Technology

Doc. No.: X3T10/95-264r1

Date: July 21, 1995

Project:

Ref. Doc.:

Reply to: John Lohmeyer

To: Membership of X3T10

From: Ralph Weber, Secretary X3T10
Larry Lamers, Vice-chair X3T10
John Lohmeyer, Chair X3T10

Subject: Minutes of X3T10 SCSI Working Group Meeting
Colorado Springs, CO -- July 11-12, 1995

Agenda

1. Opening Remarks
2. Approval of Agenda
3. Attendance and Membership
4. Physical Topics
 - 4.1 SPI-2 and ESFC Study Group Report
 - 4.2 Fast-20 Active Negation Signal Definitions
5. Protocol Topics
 - 5.1 Addressability of TARGET RESET task management function (95-236r1) [Snively]
 - 5.2 Public Review Comment Resolution on SBP (95-277) [Roberts]
 - 5.3 FCP use of Disconnect-Reconnect Mode Page (95-208r1) [Gardner]
 - 5.4 SCSI-3 Interlocked Protocol (SIP) [Penokie]
 - 5.5 FCP-2 Letter ballot resolution [Snively]
6. Command Set Topics
 - 6.1 Public Review Comment Resolution on SCC (95-220) [Penokie]
 - 6.2 Multiple Port Operations (94-233r1) [Snively]
 - 6.3 Distributed SCSI (95-262r0) [Sloan]
 - 6.4 Set/Sense Environmental Services Function [Snively]
 - 6.5 Proposed REPORT LUNS command (95-253) [Weber]
 - 6.6 Vendor-specific mode page codes for tapes (95-221r1) [Weber]
 - 6.7 Power Condition mode page (95-222r1) [Weber]
 - 6.8 Report supported density codes {for SSC} (95-224r3) [Lappin]
 - 6.9 Inadequacy of Number of Blocks in Mode Select/Sense (95-260r0) [Houlder]
 - 6.10 Device Identification Page Proposal (95-240) [Lohmeyer]
 - 6.11 Reporting Version Information [Milligan]
7. Other Topics
 - 7.1 What is in a name? [Milligan]
 - 7.2 QIC request for Density Codes & Medium Type Codes [Lohmeyer/Lappin]
8. Meeting Schedule
9. Adjournment

*Operating under the procedures of The American National Standards Institute.

X3 Secretariat, Information Technology Industry Council (ITI)

1250 Eye Street NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20005-3922

Email: x3sec@itic.nw.dc.us Telephone: 202-737-8888 FAX: 202-638-4922

Results of Meeting

1. Opening Remarks

John Lohmeyer, the X3T10 Chair, called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m., Tuesday July 11, 1995. He thanked Symbios Logic for hosting the meeting.

As is customary, the people attending introduced themselves and a copy of the attendance list was circulated. Copies of the draft agenda and general information on X3T10 were made available to those attending.

2. Approval of Agenda

The draft agenda was approved with the following additions:

- 4.2 Fast-20 Active Termination Signal definitions
- 5.4 SCSI-3 Interlocked Protocol (SIP) [Penokie]
- 5.5 FCP-2 Letter ballot resolution [Snively]

3. Attendance and Membership

Attendance at working group meetings does not count toward minimum attendance requirements for X3T10 membership. Working group meetings are open to any person or organization directly and materially affected by X3T10's scope of work.

The following people attended the meeting:

Name	S	Organization	Electronic Mail Address
Mr. Alan R. Olson	P	3M Company	arolson@mmm.com
Mr. Norm Harris	P	Adaptec, Inc.	nharris@eng.adaptec.com
Mr. Lawrence J. Lamers	A#	Adaptec, Inc.	ljlammers@aol.com
Mr. Edward Fong	P	Amdahl Corp.	esf10@amail.amdahl.com
Mr. Bob Whiteman	A	AMP, Inc.	whiteman@cup.portal.com
Mr. Bob Atkinson	V	AMP, Inc.	rdatkins@amp.com
Mr. Geoff Zech	V	AMP, Inc.	ghzech@amp.com
Mr. Michael Wingard	P	Amphenol Interconnect	
Mr. Ron Roberts	A	Apple Computer	rkr Roberts@aol.com
Mr. Clifford E. Strang Jr.	P	BusLogic	skip@buslogic.com
Mr. Al Pease	V	BusLogic	alpe@buslogic.com
Mr. Ian Morrell	P	Circuit Assembly Corp.	crctassmbl@aol.com
Mr. Peter Johansson	P	Congruent Software, Inc.	pjohansson@aol.com
Mr. Louis Grantham	P	Dallas Semiconductor	grantham@dalsemi.com
Mr. Charles Monia	P	Digital Equipment Corp.	monia@shr.dec.com
Mr. Tom Coughlan	V	Digital Equipment Corp.	coughlan@star.enet.dec.com
Mr. Kenneth J. Hallam	A	ENDL	70431.1202@compuserve.com
Mr. Ralph O. Weber	A#	ENDL Associate	roweber@acm.org
Mr. Edward Lappin	P	Exabyte Corp.	tedl@exabyte.com
Mr. Gary R. Stephens	P	FSI Consulting Services	6363897@mci mail.com
Mr. Andy Chen	A#	Fujitsu Computer Prods Amer	achen@fcpa.fujitsu.com
Mr. Stephen Holmstead	P	Hewlett Packard Co.	stephen@mail.boi.hp.com

Mr. Kurt Chan	A	Hewlett Packard Co.	kc@core.rose.hp.com
Mr. Anthony Yang	A#	Hitachi America Ltd.	yang_a@hal.sp.hitachi.com
Mr. Zane Daggett	A#	Hitachi Cable Manchester, Inc	74354.2576@compuserve.com
Mr. George Penokie	P	IBM Corp.	gop@rchvmp3.vnet.ibm.com
Mr. Giles Frazier	O	IBM Corp.	gfrazier@ausvm6.vnet.ibm.com
Dr. Gerald Marazas	A#	IBM PC Company	marazas@bcrvmpc2.vnet.ibm.com
Mr. Duncan Penman	P	IIX Consulting	penman@netcom.com
Mr. Lansing Sloan	V	Lawrence Livermore Nat'l Lab	ljsloan@llnl.gov
Mr. Robert Bellino	P	Madison Cable Corp.	
Mr. Pete McLean	P	Maxtor Corp.	pete_mclean@maxtor.com
Mr. Peter Gossler	O	NSM Jukebox GmbH	73503.3467@compuserve.com
Mr. Param Panesar	O	Pioneer Research	
Mr. Skip Jones	P	QLogic Corp.	sk_jones@qlc.com
Mr. James McGrath	P	Quantum Corp.	JMCGRATH@QNTM.COM
Mr. Edward A. Gardner	A	Quantum Corp.	gardner@acm.org
Mr. Gene Milligan	P	Seagate Technology	Gene_Milligan@notes. seagate.com
Mr. Gerald Houlder	A	Seagate Technology	Gerry_Houlder@notes. seagate.com
Mr. Mike Fitzpatrick	V	Seagate Technology	mike.fitzpatrick@notes. seagate.com
Mr. Wayne M Douglas	V	SignMax America	SignMax@aol.com
Mr. Greg Alvey	V	Solution Technology	
Mr. Dick Scheel	A#	Sony	dicks@lsi.sel.sony.com
Mr. Scott Smyers	P	Sony Corp. of America	scotts@lsi.sel.sony.com
Mr. Erich Oetting	P	Storage Technology Corp.	Erich_Oetting@Stortek.com
Mr. Roger Cummings	A	Storage Technology Corp.	Roger_Cummings@Stortek.com
Mr. Robert N. Snively	P	Sun Microsystems Computer Co	bob.snively@eng.sun.com
Mr. John Lohmeyer	P	Symbios Logic Inc.	john.lohmeyer@symbios.com
Mr. Greg Kapraun	A	Symbios Logic Inc.	Greg.Kapraun@symbios.com
Mr. Charles Binford	V	Symbios Logic Inc.	Charles.Binford@Symbios. com
Mr. Patrick Mercer	P	SyQuest Technology, Inc.	patrik.mercer@syquest.com
Mr. Kevin Gingerick	V	Texas Instruments	4307725@mci mail.com
Mr. Arlan P. Stone	A	UNISYS Corporation	arlan.stone@mv.unisys.com
Mr. Paul D. Aloisi	P	Uniprode Integrated Circuits	Aloisi@ui.cc.com
Mr. Dennis P. Moore	P	Zadian Technologies	dmoore@netcom.com

55 People Present

Status Key: P - Principal
 A, A# - Alternate
 O - Observer
 L - Liaison
 V - Visitor

4. Physical Topics

4.1 SPI-2 and ESPC Study Group Report

The name was changed from ESPC to EPI, as part of the comment resolution. See 95-263 for details. There is a study group meeting scheduled for 8/14 in Denver to address low-power differential driver, receiver, and terminator issues.

4.2 Fast-20 Active Negation Signal Definitions

Which signals are active negated? When is negation required. REQ, ACK, data, and parity was the intention. This may need clarification. Bill Ham volunteered to add a table to SPI-2 to clarify this situation. Implementations that support SCAM must have switchable drivers (that is, SCAM requires that several signals be operated in wired-OR mode that may normally be used in active negation mode).

Bill Ham presented a chart showing active negation handling in SCSI-2, SCSI-3, and FAST-20. The chart listed the requirements for each signal in each bus phase. The chart is too complex to describe here, but can be found in document 95-195r0. Most of the discussion was editorial in nature.

5. Protocol Topics

5.1 Addressability of TARGET RESET task management function (95-236r1) [Snively]

Bob Snively requested that work on this item be deferred to the September meetings.

5.2 Public Review Comment Resolution on SBP (95-277) [Roberts]

Ron Roberts led a review of all comments received from the SBP public review. The presentation resulted in very few discussions. Most of the discussion came from persons closely associated with SBP activities.

Ron Roberts and Scott Smyers recommended against forwarding SBP to second public review at this meeting. They requested that a working group meeting be held to review the latest changes, especially the isochronous changes between now and the September Plenary and that forwarding occur at the September Plenary meeting.

Scott Smyers presented an impromptu discussion of isochronous transfers methodology as currently viewed by the group working on SBP. He noted that substantial changes to SBP are required to bring its isochronous definitions up to the currently understood needs.

Ron presented a request for two working group meetings. The first to be held August 15 and 16 and hosted by Sony. The second half-day meeting to be held Tuesday afternoon during the September X3T10 meeting week (12 September, 1-5 pm).

5.3 FCP use of Disconnect-Reconnect Mode Page (95-208r1) [Gardner]

Ed Gardner presented the second revision of his proposed changes to the disconnect-reconnect mode page. Ed note that he removed all usage of specific time units and specific protocol constructions. Ed received several comments and agreed to build another revision of his proposal. At George Penokie's request, Ed agreed to read the latest revision of SIP before working on his next revision.

Giles Frazier presented his views about how some of the disconnect-reconnect mode page parameters might be applied to FCP. In particular, Giles described an interest in defining a control for how much data can be sent at the same time that the command is sent. The group debated the usefulness of the proposed parameter at length. A straw poll was taken. It favored adding the initial maximum burst size parameter by a vote of 8:4.

5.4 SCSI-3 Interlocked Protocol (SIP) [Penokie]

George Penokie presented an overview SIP (1047D) revision 5. He noted that the r5 is a complete rewrite of the document. He highlighted a terms translation map included in Annex A. He noted that the translation is necessary because SAM terminology is different from SCSI-2 terminology in many instances. He reviewed several important examples of these changes.

George detailed important areas where the new draft SIP differs from the rules laid out in the SAM. He discussed these issues with Charles Monia. George acknowledged that the document has not been available for review long enough to permit truly informed discussions. He expressed a desire to inform the working group of possible issues that they might encounter during their review. George received lots of input about the relationships between phases (now called services).

5.5 FCP-2 Letter ballot resolution [Snively]

John Lohmeyer read the 7 Seagate comments regarding the FCP-2 project proposal. The comments can be found in 95-034r2. The working group recommended that all 7 comments be accepted. Slight changes in wording were made with respect to comments 6 and 7. The proposed comment resolution resulted in document 95-278 for consideration at the plenary meeting.

6. Command Set Topics

6.1 Public Review Comment Resolution on SCC (95-220) [Penokie]

George Penokie reviewed the technical changes that resulted from the SCC comments resolutions. George reported that Tom Wicklund is satisfied with the proposed resolutions to his comments. With that, George was confident that all persons making comments are satisfied with the proposed resolutions.

George detailed the differences between SCC revision 5 and 5a. George stated that the majority of the changes in 5a are editorial, with the other changes being additions that should have been made in 5. In the absence of any objections, the working group recommended that SCC 5a be forwarded to second public review. (Note: George later decided to name the new revision 6, instead of 5a.)

6.2 Multiple Port Operations (94-233r1) [Snively]

Bob Snively led a discussion of persistent reservations, which he described as the solution to all known problems in multiple port operations. The conversation quickly digressed to the question of whether an initiator can have multiple service delivery ports. Resolution of the question was deferred until Charles Monia could be found.

Questions were raised about the simplicity with which initiator keys can be changed. Changing keys were felt to be easy. This led to a digression about security features. Some members of the working group felt that security was not within the scope of this work.

The relationship between RESERVE(6) and PROUT was discussed at length. Bob agreed to revise the proposal based on the working group agreement that targets be required to prohibit mixed use of RESERVE(6) and PROUT.

Erich Oetting noted that a new "scope" should be added for element reservations. The new "scope" would allow PROUT to function as a replacement for RESERVE ELEMENT.

Bob received many comments and suggestions about the proposal. Not all of the issues and comments discussed are described in these minutes. Bob agreed to bring a revised proposal to the next working group meeting.

The persistent reserve discussion was followed by a lengthy discussion of security issues.

6.3 Distributed SCSI (95-262r0) [Sloan]

Lansing Sloan presented an update on the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Distributed I/O project. Lansing's slides are available in document 95-262r0. A key point in Lansing's presentation was a de-emphasis of SCSI in the LLNL project in favor of TCP/IP. LLNL potentially remains interested in Distributed SCSI if security issues are solved well enough.

6.4 Set/Sense Environmental Services Function [Snively]

At the request of Bob Snively, this item was carried over until the September meetings.

6.5 Proposed REPORT LUNS command (95-253) [Weber]

Ralph presented the initial draft of the proposal, which allows hosts to obtain information about available logical units in the presence of 64-bit sparse logical unit numbers. He accepted several revisions to the proposal. He agreed to bring a revised proposal to the September meetings.

6.6 Vendor-specific mode page codes for tapes (95-221r1) [Weber]

After a brief description of the proposal, the working group unanimously recommended its adoption by the Plenary.

6.7 Power Condition mode page (95-222r1) [Weber]

After a brief description of the proposal, the working group unanimously recommended its adoption by the Plenary.

6.8 Report supported density codes {for SSC} (95-224r3) [Lappin]

Ted Lappin presented a proposal for a REPORT DENSITY SUPPORT command. He described the problems with the current density code method involving mode pages.

6.9 Inadequacy of Number of Blocks in Mode Select/Sense (95-260r0) [Houlder]

Gerry Houlder stated that there is a market need to be able to specify the capacity of very large drive. This is useful for RAID applications and customers wanting to use newer drives as replacements in existing systems. The problem is that the current field is only three bytes long and not sufficient for today's drives. Gary Stephens pointed out that subclause 9.1.2 of SCSI-2 allows this.

The working group discussed the need for a mechanism to know what format of the block descriptor is being used. Several other concerns were expressed about modifying mode page format definitions.

Many of those present preferred enhancing the READ CAPACITY command. After substantial discussion, Gerry took the working group recommendation to propose the needed changes as enhancements to the READ CAPACITY command.

6.10 Device Identification Page Proposal (95-240) [Lohmeyer]

There is a strong interest from OS folks to get a unique identifier for devices to enable deterministic configurations. In particular they would like to get the SCAM string. Gene pointed out that manufacturer, model, and serial number is not necessarily globally unique. He proposed using IEEE identifiers (as used by serial devices) which are globally unique. However, there is no standard way to get the IEEE identifier through the SCSI commands.

Based on discussions in the working group and elsewhere, John was convinced that this function should be a part of INQUIRY (possibly a VPD page), not as a new mode page.

Some proposed that the serial number string can be the IEEE global identifier. Ed Gardner strongly disagreed with replacing the manufacturer's serial number with the IEEE global identifier, based on existing, uncontrollable manufacturing constraints on serial number contents. The global identifier will be a new VPD page and will not replace the existing Unit Serial Number VPD page.

The working group decided that a new VPD page should return the global identifier. It would be a binary field; at least 64-bits in length; in IEEE extended format. Gene Milligan will follow-up with IEEE on the addressing extension to determine whether any progress has been made. John volunteered to draft a revised proposal to include a global identifier VPD page.

A note describing the preferred way to construct a SCAM string needs to be added to SPI-2 or SIP, wherever appropriate.

6.11 Reporting Version Information [Milligan]

Gene Milligan described his current working proposal for version reporting. Gene's description was entirely verbal. No paper or overhead information was presented. Gene was looking for discussion of overall ideas to guide his writing. He got some, of course.

7. Other Topics

7.1 What is in a name? [Milligan]

Gene Milligan proposed that all uses of SCSI-3 be changed to SCSI. He noted that the change left the question of what to report in the standard INQUIRY data. There was a lengthy discussion of various aspects of document naming. The need to reference several documents as part of a single implementation greatly complicated the problem. Attempts were made to find worked examples how this is done in other fields, such as networking.

This item is related to item 6.11. Expect further proposals from Gene.

7.2 QIC request for Density Codes & Medium Type Codes [Lohmeyer/Lappin]

Alan Olson stated a belief that the 95-224 proposal will be satisfactory to QIC.

8. Meeting Schedule

The next meeting of X3T10 SCSI Working Group will be September 12-13, 1995, in Bedford, NH at the Sheraton Tara Wayfarer Inn (603-622-3766), hosted by Digital Equipment Corp.

9. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 4:55 p.m. on Wednesday July 12, 1995.