Accredited Standards Committee* X3. Information Technology

Doc. No.: X3T10/95-013 **Date:** May 13, 1995 Project: Ref. Doc.: Reply to: Mr. John Lohmeyer Symbios Logic, Inc. 1635 Aeroplaza Dr. Colo Spgs, CO 80916 (719) 573-3362



Enclosed are eleven (11) Letter Ballots and associated reference documents:

Document Number		Description
		Recommending that an ATA TC be formed
		Forwarding ATA+PI Project Proposal
X3T10/95-016R0		Forwarding SPI-2 Project Proposal
X3T10/95-017R0		Forwarding ESPC Technical Report Project Proposal
X3T10/95-018R0		Forwarding FCP-2 Project Proposal
X3T10/95-019R0		Forwarding SSA-PH1 Project Proposal
X3T10/95-020R0		Forwarding SSA-PH2 Project Proposal
X3T10/95-021R0	Letter Ballot on	Recommending Revised Scope and Name for Project 0989-D (TL1)
X3T10/95-022R0		Forwarding SSA-TL2 Project Proposal
		Changing Project 1121-DT to a Standards Project (S2P)
X3T10/95-024R0	Letter Ballot on	Changing Name for Project 1051-D (SSP to S3P)

The May 11 X3T10 meeting discussed the question of whether or not X3T10 should recommend that X3 create a new TC (presumably, X3T13) to be assigned the ATA projects (ATA, ATA-2, ATA-3, ATAPI, and, if approved, ATA+PI). After much discussion, it was agreed that a letter ballot be issued to allow all X3T10 particapants the opportunity to vote on this issue. Yesterday, I discussed the X3 voting rules with Jean-Paul Emard (Director, X3 Secretariat). We concluded that this motion only requires a simple majority to pass and absentions are permitted. You must include reasons (however brief) if you submit a "no" or "abstain" vote.

We also concluded that the ATA+PI project proposal to be balloted should be revised slightly so that it does not include a specific recommendation on the TC assignment (that will come from the results of the first letter ballot).

Larry Lamers has agreed to include all the relavent project proposals in this mailing so that we can close these ballots before the June ATA and SSA meetings, giving these groups earlier visibility of the results. This also will permit us to get those project proposals that are approved without comments to be placed on the July OMC agenda.

I apologize to those who wanted a more extensive period to debate the first issue on the reflectors prior to openning the letter ballot period, but I think it is wise to resolve this issue sooner rather than later. Please note that X3T10 members are not permitted to disclose their votes prior to the close of the ballot period, but the rules do not prohibit discussing the issues during the balloting period provided you do not disclose your vote. If you cannot be discreet, then please refrain from the discussion.

Also, for two of the SSA project proposals (S2P and S3P), it is not really necessary to have new versions of the project proposals. The changes for these projects are changing their names (from SSA-SCSI2 and SSP, respectively) and requesting that SSA-SCSI2 be changed from a Technical Report to a Standard project. The letter ballots alone are sufficient, however, for convenience, we have included complete project proposals with the proposed changes. A new project proposal is necessary for the SSA-TL1 project because the scope has changed from the SSA-PH project.

> *Operating under the procedures of The American National Standards Institute. X3 Secretariat, Information Technology Industry Council (ITI) 1250 Eye Street NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20005-3922 Email: x3sec@itic.nw.dc.us Telephone: 202-737-8888 FAX: 202-638-4922