Summary of Comments on SCSI Object-Based Storage Devices -2 (OSD-2)

Page: 5

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/17/2008 5:46:55 AM

Here you talk about a single capability while in 3.1.16 you say "not the first". In credential you may want to say also a capability or several capabilities

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/17/2008 5:54:54 AM

the use of term object is somewhat confusing here (as we talk about storage objects). You may want to consider "modules" or something else

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/17/2008 5:59:22 AM
LBAs are not defined here. You may want to remove the statement that reffers to LBAs (it contains no information)

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/17/2008 6:02:31 AM
This is hard to parse (can be parsed ambiguously)

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/17/2008 6:14:40 AM
has to clarify uniqueness within a partition. The "assigned by OSD LU" is a bit confusing (perhaps explain)

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/17/2008 6:24:49 AM
with

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/17/2008 8:55:13 AM
I think that this formulation is problematic. I would like to see a clause that says that at least the effected changes are reflected in results (sense?)

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/18/2008 5:50:36 AM

that statement is not completely correct. I would state it that"as a result of the communications between SM and client the client should be able to build a capability and should have the cap-key. The rest of the text calls a combination of those two a credential and uses for it a data-structure similar to those used in communications with the data server for ease of illustration"

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/18/2008 3:11:23 AM
should mention that only cap-keys have to be confidential

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/18/2008 6:14:19 AM
A flow diagram of the checks might help a lot the reader and perhaps replace the text

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/18/2008 11:46:01 AM
should not say something about "no space" as opposed to quota exhaustion.

Author: satran
Subject: Sticky Note
Date: 8/18/2008 11:47:22 AM
are all references consistently to SPC3 or SPC4