

# **Security Association Creation**

David L. Black November 2006

1



### The Big Picture: Three Proposals

- Framework: 06-369 specifies Security Associations (SAs)
  - Connect key generation to key usage (and identify keys)
  - Leverage one key exchange to generate multiple keys
  - Need to revise 06-369 (coming up later in these slides)
- SA Creation: 06-449 specifies SA creation
  - Algorithm selection, key exchange and authentication
  - 06-449r0 is not finished yet (see Editor's Notes in proposal)
    - Revised version will also specify SA destruction
- SA Usage: 06-225 successor will specify SSC-3 keying
  - Use SA to key ESP (IPsec) instead of AES key wrap
  - SSC-3 result: IPsec from start to finish (should be ok with NIST)
- 06-103 is dead (next slide ...)



# Creating a Security Association: 06-449 replaces 06-103

- 06-103 is dead. Why?
  - 1. Need flexible selection of crypto algorithms
    - Too many choices for 06-103's "just use this" announcements
  - Need Authentication (including defense vs. man-in-the-middle)
    - Adds significant complexity to 06-103's unauthenticated key exchange
  - 3. Desire FIPS 140-n eligibility
    - Strongly favors reuse of existing security technology/protocols
- 06-449 proposes IKEv2-SCSI, a cut-down version of IKEv2
  - 1. Device capabilities replace and simplify IKEv2 negotiation
  - 2. Authentication via shared secret and public key digital signature
  - 3. IKEv2 (IPsec) techniques should be acceptable to NIST
- 06-449 removes a lot of IKEv2 functionality
  - Examples: Child SAs, Traffic Selectors, NAT traversal, Compression



### SA creation: 3 phases

- 1. Application client reads device security capabilities
  - Different SCSI Security Protocol value from. phases 2 and 3
- 2. Key exchange and security algorithm selection
  - Diffie-Hellman exchange with nonces (allows exponential reuse)
  - DH group/algorithm selectable, based on phase 1
    - IETF has specifications for elliptic curve (ECC) Diffie-Hellman

#### 3. Authentication

- Required: Shared Secret (used by iSCSI and Fibre Channel)
  - Same type of authentication secret as iSCSI and Fibre Channel
- Optional: Public key and certificates (RSA or DSS)
  - IETF has an approved specification for ECDSA authentication
- Proposal allows omission of authentication by mutual agreement
- Additional authentication types can be added later
  - Example: Kerberos (makes sense if one thinks about iSCSI)



### 06-449 Open Issues

- Command Sequencing what if app. client gives up?
  - How long does device server have to remember in-progress state?
- Minimum parameter data size requirement?
  - Can be longer than typical IP datagrams. Think about certificates.
- Delete vs. timing out SAs? Probably need Delete
- Identity types (what's a name?)
  - Proposal includes certificate primary identities and opaque identities
  - Opaque identity integration with directories and the like
  - SCSI-specific identities appear to be problematic
- Certificate formats restrictions needed (cf. RFC 4718)
  - Should SCSI support HTTP certificate retrieval?
- Security review of progress indications and field pointers



# 06-449: Key Derivation Function for IKEv2

- Key Derivation Function (KDF)
  - Multiple keys derived from one key + additional inputs (e.g., nonces)
- IKEv2 authentication uses a KDF may need six(!) keys
  - prf+() KDF family, based on selected pseudo-random function (prf)
- NIST KDF family specified in NIST publication 800-56A
- Problem: NIST KDF family requires identities as inputs
  - IKEv2 authentication KDF used before identities are known
  - Modifying the NIST KDF (e.g., fudging identity inputs) is a bad idea
  - Modifying IKEv2 authentication is an even worse idea.
- Result: Can't use NIST KDF for IKEv2 authentication
  - IKEv2 authentication needs to use IKEv2 prf+() KDF family



# 06-369 Issue: Key Derivation Functions for SAs

- What about KDFs in Security Associations?
  - 06-369 currently uses NIST KDFs
  - Will need to add identities to continue using NIST KDFs
- Alternative: Use IKEv2 KDFs in Security Associations
  - Using the same KDF as IKEv2 authentication is simpler
  - For SSC-3, result is full IPsec, should be acceptable to NIST
- NIST and IETF: IETF will not use NIST KDF for TLS
  - NIST considering what to do instead of insisting on its KDFs
  - Issues appear to involve identity binding to derived keys

