

**Subject:** Re: SPC-3 r22a uploaded  
**From:** "Ralph O. Weber" <roweber@ieee.org>  
**Date:** Wed, 20 Apr 2005 19:57:40 -0500  
**To:** t10@t10.org

\* From the T10 Reflector ([t10@t10.org](mailto:t10@t10.org)), posted by:  
\* "Ralph O. Weber" <[roweber@ieee.org](mailto:roweber@ieee.org)>  
\*

Regarding requested changed 5:

If there is an equivalent of table 324 in SPC-2 that shows a definition of 10b that can be described as 'obsolete' in SPC-3, then the requested change is appropriate. NOT!

Otherwise, 'Reserved' might be appropriate, or 'Vendor Specific'.

All the best,

.Ralph

Elliott, Robert (Server Storage) wrote:

\* From the T10 Reflector ([t10@t10.org](mailto:t10@t10.org)), posted by:  
\* "Elliott, Robert (Server Storage)" <[elliott@hp.com](mailto:elliott@hp.com)>  
\*

If SPC-3 revision 22a is reopened per 05-148 and 05-150, here are a few more trivial editorial corrections to include:

1. Section 4.5.1 item b), change MODE PARAMETERS HAVE CHANGED to MODE PARAMETERS CHANGED
2. Section 6.26 table 169 REPORT TIMESTAMP parameter data format, remove unwanted vertical lines from bytes 0 and 1
3. Section 7.2.9 bottom of printed page 259, remove underlines from "identify" and "standard"
4. Section 7.4.8 add period to end of sentence ending in MODE PARAMETERS CHANGED
5. Section 7.6.6 table 324 (Mode page policy) change 10b from "per initiator port" to "obsolete" (as requested by an accepted letter ballot comment)

\*  
\* For T10 Reflector information, send a message with  
\* 'info t10' (no quotes) in the message body to [majordomo@t10.org](mailto:majordomo@t10.org)