Subject: Re: Queries on BSR INCITS 400
From: "Ralph O. Weber" <roweber@ieee.org>
Date: Wed, 15 Sep 2004 08:00:19 -0500
To: Harvey Rosenfeld <HROSENFE@ansi.org>
CC: Deborah Spittle <dspittle@itic.org>, John Lohmeyer <lohmeyer@t10.org>

Dear Mr. Rosenfeld:

It is a pleasure to hear from you again.

As always, your comments have hit the mark. The incorrect cross references cited by the comments numbered 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 should all be changed as you recommend.

Regarding the comment numbered 3, the phrase "...partition zero to as defined by this standard." should be changed to "...partition zero as defined by this standard." (i.e., the suggestion of deleting the word "to" should be adopted).

My records show that the INCITS Public Review of BSR INCITS 400 does not close until 27 September. I am not aware of any Public Review comments posted yet, but something might still come up, which could delay the production work on BSR INCITS 400.

All the best,

.Ralph

Harvey Rosenfeld wrote:

Dear Mr. Weber: We have completed editing the manuscript of BSR INCITS 400, "Information Technology - SCSI Object-based Storage Device Commands (OSD)," and have some queries on your text. Please review the questions below and respond via e-mail.

Here are your questions:

1) The introduction to table 51 in subclause 6.4 begins: "The CREATE AND WRITE command (see table 50) causes ...". Should the cross-reference actually be table 51?

2) The introduction to table 61 in subclause 6.10 begins: "The FLUSH SCOPE field (see table 55) specifies ...". Should the cross-reference actually be table 61?

3) The paragraph in 6.11 reads as follows:

The FORMAT OSD command (see table 62) causes the OSD device server to delete all user objects, delete all partitions except partition zero, and set the attributes for the root object and partition zero to as defined by this standard.

Is text missing after the word "to", or should the word "to" be deleted?

4) The introduction to table 75 in subclause 6.19 begins: "The REMOVE COLLECTION command (see table 52) removes ...". Should this cross-reference actually be table 75?

5) The first sentence in the paragraph above table 82 reads: "The ALLOCATION LENGTH field specifies the number of bytes available to receive
the device server DH_data (see table 27) sent in response to the SET MASTER KEY command." Should this cross-reference be table 82?

6) The introduction to table 136 in subclause 7.5.3 begins: "The Security Token VPD page (see table 132) contains ...". Should this cross-reference be table 136?

Please respond as soon as possible. I will begin production once I receive your answers.

I look forward to working with you again.

Harvey Rosenfeld
Electronic Editorial and Production Specialist
ANSI

(212) 642-4921
(212) 730-1346 (FAX)
hrosenfe@ansi.org <mailto:hrosenfe@ansi.org>