Subject: Re: Queries on BSR INCITS 400
From: "Ralph O. Weber" <roweber@ieee.org>
Date: Wed, 15 Sep 2004 08:00:19 -0500
To: Harvey Rosenfeld <HROSENFE@ansi.org>
CC: Deborah Spittle <dspittle@itic.org>, John Lohmeyer <lohmeyer@t10.org>

Dear Mr. Rosenfeld:

It is a pleasure to hear from you again.

As always, your comments have hit the mark. The incorrect cross
references cited by the comments numbered 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 should
all be changed as you recommend.

Regarding the comment numbered 3, the phrase "...partition zero to as
defined by this standard." should be changed to "...partition zero as
defined by this standard." (i.e., the suggestion of deleting the word
"to" should be adopted).

My records show that the INCITS Public Review of BSR INCITS 400 does
not close until 27 September. I am not aware of any Public Review
comments posted yet, but something might still come up, which could
delay the production work on BSR INCITS 400.

All the best,

.Ralph

Harvey Rosenfeld wrote:

> Dear Mr. Weber: We have completed editing the manuscript of BSR INCITS 400,
> "Information Technology - SCSI Object-based Storage Device Commands (OSD),"
> and have some queries on your text. Please review the questions below and
> respond via e-mail.
>
> Here are your questions:
>
> 1) The introduction to table 51 in subclause 6.4 begins: "The CREATE AND
> WRITE command (see table 50) causes ...". Should the cross-reference
> actually be table 51?
>
> 2) The introduction to table 61 in subclause 6.10 begins: "The FLUSH SCOPE
> field (see table 55) specifies ...". Should the cross-reference actually be
> table 61?
>
> 3) The paragraph in 6.11 reads as follows:
>
> The FORMAT OSD command (see table 62) causes the OSD device server to delete
> all user objects, delete all partitions except partition zero, and set the
> attributes for the root object and partition zero to as defined by this
> standard.
>
> Is text missing after the word "to", or should the word "to" be deleted?
>
> 4) The introduction to table 75 in subclause 6.19 begins: "The REMOVE
> COLLECTION command (see table 52) removes ...". Should this cross-reference
> actually be table 75?
>
> 5) The first sentence in the paragraph above table 82 reads: "The
> ALLOCATION LENGTH field specifies the number of bytes available to receive

the device server DH_data (see table 27) sent in response to the SET MASTER
KEY command." Should this cross-reference be table 82?

6) The introduction to table 136 in subclause 7.5.3 begins: "The Security
Token VPD page (see table 132) contains ...". Should this cross-reference be
table 136?

Please respond as soon as possible. I will begin production once I receive
your answers.

I look forward to working with you again.

Harvey Rosenfeld
Electronic Editorial and
Production Specialist
ANSI

(212) 642-4921
(212) 730-1346 (FAX)
hrosenfe@ansi.org <mailto:hrosenfe@ansi.org>