

BUSINESS WITHOUT INTERRUPTION"

FCP-2 PR Comment Resets & Serial Access Devices

Roger Cummings July 18, 2001

THE DATA AVAILABILITY COMPANY^M



Topics

- Background
- How we found the problem
- Testing Status
- Existing Standards
- Future Standards





Background

VERITAS is an cross-platform APPLICATION vendor

- With a strong interest in NOT having interface specific code.....
- And two SAN Integration Labs....

To quote one of our lab engineers:

"All this Login and Logout stuff happens at a level way below us"

Backup applications are now time critical

- Data transfer process fills the available window
- No time for even one rewind/reposition cycle

How we found the problem

- Backup application on server copying from disk to compressed tape on a SAN switch
- Plug another disk drive into a vacant port Backup dies!!! Why?
 - HBA registered for state change notification
 - New fabric f/w sent unexpected code to HBA
 - Part of Recovery Process was for HBA to re PLOGI with all devices

Data

- Tape had FCP-2 firmware, PLOGI reset mode pages, cleared reservations as well as killing tasks
 - Commands retried, but write to media could not continue because block size, & compression mode change requires rewind to load point

How we found the problem

HBA behavior is probably ONLY option using FCP definitions!

- Therefore significant issue in mixed FCP & FCP-2 systems
- And its even worse if the tape drive lets the write continue......
 - Backup may work if the HBA can relogin and reissue commands inside the app retry window...
 - but Restore will almost certainly fail!



And then we tried.....

Our standard unplug/replug test on this FCP-2 tape drive

- Didn't work replug reset mode pages, reservations as per FCP-2
- Backup app couldn't recover

Testing a new FCP-2 compliant FC-SCSI bridge

- Unplug and Replug meant that the drive seen as a new device
- OS could not find the tape drive again without a Server reboot

Testing Status

See web page:

- http://support.veritas.com/menu_ddProduct_BEW NT.htm
- Follow compatibility lists, Shared Storage Option for Win2000

10 vendors, with 15 loop & 22 fabric configurations for each vendor

- All work with Backup app after unplug & replug
- Can even change ports & Backup app & OS find drive and operation continues without rewind

 Above testing performed on 3 different OS – NT, Win2K, Netware. Same results



Testing Status

5 new FCP-2 compliant devices (2 HBAs, 1 bridge, 2 libraries) break our backup apps

 And fail about once every 100 hours for a reason we cannot determine @ the moment



Existing Standards

- One table in an existing document defines all FC-level port logouts and logins (Implicit Port, Explicit Port & Process), as forms of Target Reset
 - Document is T11 FC-PLDA TR
 - Definition not changed in T11 FC-FLA TR
 - T11 FC-TAPE TR makes "forward reference" to FCP-2
 - FCP-2 Table essentially same as PLDA Table
- FC-PH defines loss of light as Implicit Logout, and PLOGI as Implicit Logout before Login
 - Therefore FCP-2 devices cannot be hot plugged in same way as FCP devices (or all other SCSI devices)



Postulates

That the current FCP-2 definition is a "hair trigger"

- Almost impossible to certify that configurations will not run into problems
- 3rd party devices can be the trigger
- That an anti-layering approach of physical interface definitions having such a major impact on the operation of the SCSI command layer is no longer acceptable
 - Or will not be in the near future!!
 - Command reissue is not a problem done by the same code as handles the Login/Logouts – it's the "state" information change (reserve/release, mode pages etc.)

Future Standards

Will iSCSI & SRP have physical layer actions with equivalent impact on SCSI tasks?

- If so the IS Manager is going to be most unhappy!!!!
 - Unplug & Replug in a different place is a way of life in LANs

Trend to more "state" information held by Targets:

- Bridge command set?
- Disk Arrays (do vendor unique pages get reset too???)





- Cannot fix the past.....
- But cannot carry PLDA approach forward either
- Immediate issue is tapes....
- Sensible to fix @ this point in FCP-2
 - As a change more compatible with future directions
 - Target reset still exists (with explicit actions)
 - Implicit actions have no impact on command layer

Therefore VERITAS asks T10 to not accept the recommendation of the Technical Editor to reject our PR Comment