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Objectives

- Discuss calibration and training pattern requirements
- Propose a flexible training pattern suitable for various timing de-skew and other receiver adjustment techniques
- Discuss calibration options for Ultra-320 SCSI.
CAL and Training Pattern Requirements:

- Provide for calibrations with sufficient stability to withstand infrequent re-CALs
- Minimize protocol overhead
- Simple implementation in protocol and hardware
- Adequate pattern length to accommodate calibration with averaging for timing de-skew and other receiver calibrations as required.
- Allow flexibility for various vendor-specific CAL circuit techniques by including:
  - Settled asserted and de-asserted LVD levels
  - Isolated rising and falling edges
  - Maximum frequency 101010 and lower frequency 11001100 patterns
Proposed Training Pattern

1.6 μsec Training Interval
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De-asserted
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Asserted

600ns
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600ns
11001100…pattern
• All Calibrations must be stable over longest data transmissions (can be msec range).
  • Switching from Transmit-to-Receive will cause receiver chip temperature changes during a data transmission (receive power is much lower).
  • Power supply changes due to other activities during a data phase (eg. Disk Drive Seek or Servo-Idle-Read sequencing) could also affect cal settings.

• Expected short-term power supply and temperature factors will be comparable to long term changes in ambient power supply and temperature, therefore:
  • CAL circuitry must be designed with good stability over power supply and temperature
  • Minor Update CAL with each data transmission is not expected to have a significant CAL accuracy advantage over less frequent Major CALs
Calibration options for Ultra-320 SCSI.

A: Major CAL at start of each transmission
B: Host-initiated Major CAL on system commands, plus Minor Update CAL on each transmission
C: Host-initiated Major CAL on system commands
A: Major CAL at start of each transmission

- **Pros:**
  - No need to store CAL data for multiple targets & initiators
  - CAL sequence built into the data phase

- **Cons:**
  - All CALs must be full-range and not just updates, because there is no guarantee of CAL interval
  - Overhead is high on short transmissions
  - Minimizing CAL time restricts the use of averaging, and multiple calibrations
B: Host-initiated Major CAL on power-up, timer, or detected error; plus Minor Update CAL on each transmission:

**Pros:**
- Works well with frequent short transfers

**Cons:**
- Update CAL duration is overhead on every data transmission
- Must store CAL data for multiple targets & initiators
- Requires CAL control sequences for both major and update CALs
C: Host-initiated Major CAL only, on power-up, timer, or detected error:

- **Pros:**
  - Least overhead of A, B or C
  - Single CAL protocol sequence
  - Allows time for a flexible training pattern
    - accommodates multiple calibrations
    - accommodates different vendor-specific calibration techniques
  - Allows time for calibration averaging
    - better CAL accuracy

- **Cons:**
  - Must store CAL data for multiple targets & initiators
### CAL Strategy Pro/Con Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protocol Overhead</td>
<td>👎</td>
<td>👎</td>
<td>👍</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>👍</td>
<td>👎</td>
<td>👍</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Requirements</td>
<td>👍</td>
<td>👎</td>
<td>👎</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility</td>
<td>👎</td>
<td>👍</td>
<td>👍</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averaging</td>
<td>👎</td>
<td>👍</td>
<td>👍</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommended Approach:

- Host-Initiated Major CAL only, on power-up, timer, or detected error:
  - Least transmission time overhead
  - Least impact on control and signaling
  - Maximum flexibility for CAL approaches and averaging
  - CAL circuit stability vs. temperature and voltage is required in all A, B, or C strategies
    - Temperature and supply voltage changes during a data phase, e.g. due to IC power changes on Transmit-to-Receive mode change, expected to be the most severe stability requirement.
  - Requirement to store CAL data is not severe.