

Voting Results on T10 Letter Ballot 00-018r0 on
Forwarding SES Amendment 1 Rev 00 to first public review

Organization	Name	S	Vote	Add'l	Info
Adaptec, Inc.	lawrence lamers	P	Yes		
AMP / Tyco Electronics	charles brill	P	Yes		
Amphenol Interconnect	Bill Mable	P	Yes		
Berg Electronics	Douglas Wagner	P	Yes		
BREA Technologies, Inc.	Bill Galloway	P	Yes		
Brocade Communications	Robert Snively	P	Yes		
Circuit Assembly Corp.	Ian Morrell	P	Yes		
CMD Technology	Edward Haske	P	Yes		
Compaq Computer Corp.	Robert Elliott	P	Yes		
Crossroads Systems, Inc.	Neil Wanamaker	P	Yes		
Dallas Semiconductor	Charles Tashbook	P	Yes		
Dell Computer			DNV		
EMC	gary s robinson	P	Yes		
ENDL	Ralph Weber	A	Yes	IV	
Exabyte Corp.	Michael Taylor	P	Yes		
Fujitsu			DNV		
General Dynamics	Nathan Hastad	P	Yes		
Hewlett Packard Co.	Stewart Wyatt	P	Yes		
Hitachi Cable Manchester, Inc	Zane Daggett	P	Yes		
Honda Connectors	Thomas J. Kulesza	P	Yes		
IBM Corp.	George Penokie	P	Yes		
Iomega Corp.	Tim Bradshaw	P	Yes		
KnowledgeTek, Inc.	Dennis P Moore	P	Yes		
LSI Logic Corp.	John Lohmeyer	P	Yes		
Madison Cable Corp.	Jie Fan	P	Yes		
Maxtor Corp.	Pete McLean	P	Yes		
Molex Inc.	Joe Dambach	P	Yes		
NSTOR			DNV		
Ophidian Designs	Edward A. Gardner	P	Yes	IV	
Panasonic Technologies, Inc	Han Zou	P	Yes		
Philips Electronics			DNV		
QLogic Corp.			DNV		
Quantum Corp.	Mark S. Evans	P	Yes		
Seagate Technology	Gene Milligan	P	No	IV Cmnts	
Storage Technology Corp.			DNV		
Sun Microsystems, Inc.	kenneth Moe	P	Yes		
Texas Instruments	Paul D. Aloisi	P	Yes		
Toshiba America Elec. Comp.	Tasuku Kasebayashi	P	Yes		
Woven Electronics	Doug Piper	P	Yes		

Key:

P Voter indicated he/she is principal member
A Voter indicated he/she is alternate member
O Voter indicated he/she is observer member
? Voter indicated he/she is not member or does not know status
YesC Yes with comments vote
Abs Abstain vote
DNV Organization did not vote
IV Individual vote (not organizational vote)
Cmnts Comments were included with ballot
NoCmnts No comments were included with a vote that requires comments
DUP Duplicate ballot (last ballot received from org. is counted)
PSWD The password was not correct (vote not counted)
ORG? Organization is not voting member of T10 (vote not counted)

Ballot totals:

32 Yes
1 No
0 Abstain
6 Organization(s) did not vote
39 Total voting organizations
1 Ballot(s) included comments

This 2/3rds majority ballot passed.
32 Yes is at least a majority of the membership [20] AND
32 Yes is at least 22 (2/3rds of those voting, excluding abstentions [33])

Comments attached to No ballot from Gene Milligan of
Seagate Technology:

Acrobat has chose to summarize the notes in a different order than was
intended. Please do not be disturbed by the order.

Notes from sesar00.pdf

Page 1

Note 1; Label: Seagate Employee; Date: 6/30/0 3:09:18 PM

<<in Table A.2 "Configuration page, using sub-enclosure identifiers," change
the "Bytes" column entry for the First sub-enclosure descriptor PRODUCT
REVISION LABEL from "(8 bytes)" to "(4 bytes)">>

This change is either wrong or misleading. Change it to "in Table A.2
"Configuration page, using sub-enclosure identifiers," change the "Bytes"
column entry for the First sub-enclosure descriptor PRODUCT REVISION LABEL
from "44-47" to "44-51".

Note 2; Label: Seagate Employee; Date: 6/30/0 2:59:51 PM

<<in Table 18 "Format of OVERALL DESCRIPTOR and ELEMENT DESCRIPTOR," swap
"LSB" and "MSB" for bytes 2 and 3 (DESCRIPTOR LENGTH) so "MSB" is in byte 2
and "LSB" is in byte 3.>>

This change is either wrong or incomplete depending upon your view. Change the
description in Table 18, Format of OVERALL DESCRIPTOR and ELEMENT DESCRIPTOR,
of the DESCRIPTOR LENGTH field such that byte 2, bit 7 is "(MSB)" and byte 3,
bit 0 is "LSB". Even better would be a replacement table.

Note 3; Label: Seagate Employee; Date: 6/30/0 3:23:46 PM

Also change <<and change the entry for VENDOR SPECIFIC ENCLOSURE INFORMATION
from "(p - 40 bytes)" to "(p - 36 bytes).">> to "and change the entry for
VENDOR SPECIFIC ENCLOSURE INFORMATION from "48-(m+11)" to "52-(m+11)".

Note 4; Label: Seagate Employee; Date: 6/30/0 3:28:12 PM

In addition Table A.2 in the Byte column seems to use an arbitrary mixture of
the Byte Number and the number of bytes. This seems to add to the confusion.
Again a replacement table would be even better.

***** End of Ballot Report *****