

Voting Results on T10 Letter Ballot 00-011r0 on  
 Recommending U.S. Yes with Comments vote on OMC FDIS

Organization	Name	S Vote	Add'l Info
Adaptec, Inc.	Lawrence J. Lamers	P Yes	
Advansys	Ram Rangarajan	P Yes	
AMP, Inc.	charles brill	P Yes	
Amphenol Interconnect	Bill Mable	P Yes	
Ancot Corp.	Bart Raudebaugh	P Yes	
Andataco	Gregg Neely	P Yes	
Berg Electronics	Douglas Wagner	P Yes	
BREA Technologies, Inc.	Bill Galloway	P Yes	
Circuit Assembly Corp.	Ian Morrell	P Yes	
CMD Technology	Edward Haske	P Yes	
Compaq Computer Corp.	Robert C Elliott	P Yes	
Crossroads Systems, Inc.	Neil Wanamaker	P Yes	
Dallas Semiconductor		DNV	
Dell Computer		DNV	
ENDL	Ralph O. Weber	A Yes	IV
Fujitsu	Eugene Lew	P Yes	
General Dynamics	Nathan Hastad	P Yes	
Hewlett Packard Co.		DNV	
Hitachi Cable Manchester, Inc	Zane Daggett	P Yes	
Hitachi Storage Products	Anthony Yang	P Yes	
Honda Connectors		DNV	
IBM Corp.	George Penokie	P Yes	
KnowledgeTek, Inc.	Dennis P. Moore	P Yes	
Linfinity Micro	Louis Grantham	P Yes	
LSI Logic Corp.	John Lohmeyer	P Yes	
Madison Cable Corp.	Michael Karg	A Yes	
Maxtor Corp.	Pete McLean	P Yes	
Molex Inc.	Joe Dambach	A Yes	
Ophidian Designs	Edward A. Gardner	P Yes	IV
Panasonic Technologies, Inc	Han Zou	P Yes	
Philips Electronics	Bill McFerrin	P Yes	
QLogic Corp.		DNV	
Quantum Corp.	Mark Evans	P Yes	
Seagate Technology	Gene Milligan	P YesC	IV Cmnts
Storage Technology Corp.	Erich Oetting	P Yes	
Sun Microsystems Computer Co	Robert N. Snively	P Yes	
Texas Instruments	Paul Aloisi	P Yes	
Toshiba America Elec. Comp.		DNV	
Western Digital Corporation		DNV	

Key:

P Voter indicated he/she is principal member  
 A Voter indicated he/she is alternate member  
 O Voter indicated he/she is observer member  
 ? Voter indicated he/she is not member or does not know status  
 YesC Yes with comments vote  
 Abs Abstain vote  
 DNV Organization did not vote  
 IV Individual vote (not organizational vote)  
 Cmnts Comments were included with ballot  
 NoCmnts No comments were included with a vote that requires comments  
 DUP Duplicate ballot (last ballot received from org. is counted)  
 PSWD The password was not correct (vote not counted)  
 ORG? Organization is not voting member of T10 (vote not counted)

Ballot totals:

32 Yes  
 0 No  
 0 Abstain  
 7 Organization(s) did not vote  
 39 Total voting organizations  
 1 Ballot(s) included comments

This 2/3rds majority ballot passed.

\*\*\*\*\*

Comments attached to YesC ballot from Gene Milligan of  
Seagate Technology:

Based upon working with the authors of 14776-381 in ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25/WG 4 I  
would prefer to phrase the editorial comments suggested by Ralph as follows.

1) Clause 4.2 and 5.1:

Comment: An editorial change would clarify these clauses by adding a reference  
to the clause that has the AT bit definition.

Proposed change: In the second paragraph of 4.2 change "(see SCSI-3 SPC)" to  
"(see 6.3 and SCSI-3 SPC)" and in 5.1 second paragraph after Table 4 change  
"(see SCSI-3 SBC)" to "(see 6.3 and SCSI-3 SPC)".

2) Clause 5.1:

Comment: An editorial change would resolve terminology that is inconsistent  
with ISO/IEC CD 14776-311, Information technology - Small Computer System  
Interface - Part 311: Primary Commands (SCSI-3 SPC).

Proposed change: In the next to last paragraph above table 5 change "sent  
during DATA IN phase of the command" to "returned to the application client in  
the Data-In Buffer."

Explanation: DATA IN phase is the terminology for only the SPI transport while  
the SPC terminology (Data-In Buffer) applies to all the transports including  
Fibre Channel and SPI.

\*\*\*\*\* End of Ballot Report \*\*\*\*\*