

Voting Results on T10 Letter Ballot 00-009r0 on
 Recommending U.S. Yes vote on OMC FDIS

Organization	Name	S Vote	Add'l Info
Adaptec, Inc.	lawrence lamers	P Yes	
Advansys	Ram Rangarajan	P Yes	
AMP, Inc.	Charles Brill	P Yes	
Amphenol Interconnect	Bill Mable	P Yes	
Ancot Corp.	Bart Raudebaugh	P Yes	
Andataco	Gregg Neely	P Yes	
Berg Electronics	Douglas Wagner	P Yes	
BREA Technologies, Inc.	Bill Galloway	P Yes	
Circuit Assembly Corp.	ian morrell	P Yes	
CMD Technology	Edward Haske	P Yes	
Compaq Computer Corp.	Robert Elliott	P Yes	
Crossroads Systems, Inc.	Neil Wanamaker	P Yes	
Dallas Semiconductor	Charles Tashbook	P Yes	
Dell Computer		DNV	
ENDL	Ralph Weber	A YesC	IV Cmnts
Fujitsu	Eugene Lew	P Yes	
General Dynamics	Nathan Hastad	P Yes	
Hewlett Packard Co.	Stewart Wyatt	P Yes	
Hitachi Cable Manchester, Inc	Zane Daggett	P Yes	
Hitachi Storage Products	Anthony Yang	P Yes	
Honda Connectors	Thomas J. Kulesza	P Yes	
IBM Corp.	George Penokie	P Yes	
KnowledgeTek, Inc.	Dennis P. Moore	P Yes	
Linfinity Micro	Louis Grantham	P Yes	
LSI Logic Corp.	John Lohmeyer	P Yes	
Madison Cable Corp.	jie fan	P Yes	
Maxtor Corp.	Pete McLean	P Yes	
Molex Inc.	Joe Dambach	A Yes	
Ophidian Designs	Edward A. Gardner	P Yes	IV
Panasonic Technologies, Inc	Han Zou	P Yes	
Philips Electronics	Bill McFerrin	P Yes	
QLogic Corp.	skip jones	P Yes	
Quantum Corp.	Mark Evans	P Yes	
Seagate Technology	Gene Milligan	P Yes	IV
Storage Technology Corp.	Erich Oetting	P Yes	
Sun Microsystems Computer Co	Robert N. Snively	P Yes	
Texas Instruments	Paul D. Aloisi	P Yes	
Toshiba America Elec. Comp.	Tasuku Kasebayashi	P Yes	
Western Digital Corporation		DNV	

Key:

P Voter indicated he/she is principal member
 A Voter indicated he/she is alternate member
 O Voter indicated he/she is observer member
 ? Voter indicated he/she is not member or does not know status
 YesC Yes with comments vote
 Abs Abstain vote
 DNV Organization did not vote
 IV Individual vote (not organizational vote)
 Cmnts Comments were included with ballot
 NoCmnts No comments were included with a vote that requires comments
 DUP Duplicate ballot (last ballot received from org. is counted)
 PSWD The password was not correct (vote not counted)
 ORG? Organization is not voting member of T10 (vote not counted)

Ballot totals:

37 Yes
 0 No
 0 Abstain
 2 Organization(s) did not vote
 39 Total voting organizations
 1 Ballot(s) included comments

This 2/3rds majority ballot passed.

Comments attached to YesC ballot from Ralph Weber of
ENDL:

Reference information regarding the AT bit is inconsistent and misleading. Clause 4.2 states: "If the device supports both address types, the address types can be selected using the MODE SELECT command by setting the address type (AT) bit of optical memory card device mode parameter header (see SCSI-3 SPC)." Clause 5.1 states: "If the address type (AT) bit of mode parameter header (see SCSI-3 SBC) is set to zero, ..." Yet neither SPC nor SBC define the AT bit. The AT bit is defined in 6.3 of this standard.

It is true that the AT bit appears in the Device-Specific Parameter field of a mode parameter header. Because the Device-Specific Parameter field of a mode parameter header is described in both SPC and SBC, references to those standards may be appropriate. However, the reference should be consistent in both 4.2 and 5.1 and the reference must include 6.3 of this standard. My recommendation would be to construct the reference as follows: "(see 6.3 and SCSI-3 SPC)".

The following sentence in 5.1 is not consistent with SCSI-3 terminology: "The READ CARD CAPACITY data shall be sent during DATA IN phase of the command." The wording "... DATA IN phase ..." is specific to the parallel SCSI bus and fails to recognize implementations such as Fibre Channel. The proper wording would be: "The READ CARD CAPACITY data shall be returned to the application client in the Data-In Buffer."

***** End of Ballot Report *****